Case 1	:21-cr-00483-ENV Document 12	3 Filed 12/02/22 Page 1 of 252 PageID #: 1263
		90
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT CO EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW	
2		x 21-CR-483 (ENV)
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	,
4	Plaintiff,	United States Courthouse Brooklyn, New York
5	-against-	November 14, 2022
6	CHRIS BANTIS,	10:00 a.m.
7	Defendant.	
8		x
9		F CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR TRIAL
10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE ERIC N. VITALIANO UNITED STATES SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE	
11		BEFORE A JURY
12	APPEARANCES	
13	For the Government:	UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
14		Eastern District of New York 271 Cadman Plaza East
15		Brooklyn, New York 11201 BY: LINDSEY OKEN, ESQ.
16		TARA BRIGIT McGRATH, ESQ. JENNIFER M. SASSO, ESQ.
17		Assistant United States Attorneys
18	For the Defendant:	FEDERAL DEFENDERS OF NEW YORK One Pierrepont Plaza
19		Brooklyn, New York 11201 BY: NORA K. HIROZAWA, ESQ.
20		MARISSA SHERMAN, ESQ.
21	Also Present:	EMILY MOOSHER, PARALEGAL CAROLINE KISSICK, PARALEGAL
22		PAUL TAMBRINO, AGENT
23	Court Reporter:	LINDA D. DANELCZYK, RPR, CSR, CCR Phone: 718-613-2330 Fax: 718-804-2712
24		Email: LindaDan226@gmail.com
25	Proceedings recorded by produced by computer-aid	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROCEEDINGS

92

exhibits that the Court has determined are admissible that the government expects to introduce today are transcripts that were previously sealed. And so the government would just move for a limited unsealing for purposes of today's testimony to ensure that we can admit them into evidence and publish them today but that they would remain sealed beyond that. THE COURT: So ordered. Thank you, Your Honor. MS. OKEN: The second issue that we wanted to raise is one that we've alerted the Court to previously and that is the testimony of John Doe 1, who we expect to be in court this morning. John Doe 1 has a number of medical issues that we have addressed with the Court in filings. We just want to alert you, Your Honor, that in the event that we are under the impression that the witness may need a short break or that it may be prudent to bring the jury out because of an issue that the witness is undergoing, we'll promptly alert the Court of that. And the witness is accompanied by a medical professional who we understand will be seated nearby and can also alert the government if we think intervention may be necessary. We are optimistic --THE COURT: When you say "nearby," you mean nearby by the witness or nearby you?

MS. OKEN:

Nearby the witness, Your Honor.

PROCEEDINGS

And so we just wanted to alert the Court that although we are optimistic that nothing will flow from this, we wanted to alert the Court in the event it arises that that is our intention.

And I think the final issue that we wanted to put on the record this morning pertains to the mechanism by which the parties will be either refreshing witness' recollections or conducting impeachments, and this is one we had flagged for the Court last week. But we — there is some audio and video footage, some of which I think either the Court has ruled inadmissible or the parties are presently disputing their admissibility, and that — so that we are under the impression that in order for those to be played for a witness, they would have to be played in a manner that they're not exposed to the jury.

And so there are a number of ways in which that can be accomplished. Obviously filing the jury in and out every time would be a little bit unwieldily but to the extent that there is a mechanism that the parties can use that would provide headphones for the witness or use transcripts in lieu of video or use video without audio, we're amenable to the different options in terms of how to do that, so long as, as you mentioned, things that are not in evidence and are solely being used for impeachment or refreshing purposes are not inadvertently displayed to the jury.

1 MS. SHERMAN: Judge, may we respond to that briefly?

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. SHERMAN: This also addresses some of the government's concern about the defense exhibits that were labeled.

We agree with the government that in the event that there's refreshing recollection that that has to be done outside the presence of the jury, including audio and video.

In terms of impeachment, I think one of the issues that's going to come up is that there are several statements that are made on body cam video and 9-1-1 calls that I believe at this point are not admissible.

We obviously don't know what the witness is going to testify to. But if there is a moment for impeachment, our argument is that we have the right to confront the witness with the previous statement. If the witness denies making the statement, we have the right to perfect the impeachment.

What we suggested to the government is that we can perfect the impeachment by the body cam footage, which was all turned over by the Government in Rule 16 discovery. This is all discovery that was accessed by the government.

If the government is not willing to allow that to happen, then we will have to call all of the NYPD officers who took these statements in order to perfect the impeachment on our case.

But as the Court is aware, there's a difference between a witness saying, I don't remember, and a witness saying, no, I didn't say that. If the witness said I didn't say that, we do have the right to present to the jury, not for the truth of the matter but for the fact that the statement was said.

THE COURT: Ms. Oken?

MS. OKEN: Your Honor, we agree that there is a right to confront the witness with a prior inconsistent statement in the event that that occurs.

I think what we disagree on is that the -- so, for example, if there were grand jury testimony that wouldn't be published to the jury in the form of transcript.

In the same way we're concerned that this is sort of a backdoor avenue of getting in body cam footage that the government contends is inadmissible and that -- that we understand that the Court has not yet had an opportunity to review because a number of the footage -- a number of the defense exhibits that were marked were provided to the Court yesterday.

And so perhaps we can take an incremental approach and see if the situation even presents itself, but I do think while we agree that the witness can be confronted with the prior inconsistent statement, we disagree that body cam footage that is not admissible can be broadcast to the jury in

1 | that -- in the event that that occurs.

THE COURT: Has there been a transcript made of the audio on the body cam images?

MS. OKEN: The body cam footage that I think the government expected may be used to refresh our witnesses, we have created transcripts of that. I don't know whether the defense has done the same for body cam footage that --

THE COURT: So I make sure I understand it. Based on what Ms. Sherman has told me is that all of the footage that they have originated with you.

MS. OKEN: The footage itself did, Your Honor. But we -- it's quite a bit of footage and we haven't had all of it transcribed. We had some bits and pieces here and there transcribed from a -- from an efficiency standpoint. But I mean a lot of this body cam footage, Your Honor, is just statements of the defendant.

THE COURT: We have to cut to the quick here. The words are what this is all about. We're going to impeach him or her, whoever the witness may be, it's going to be on the words, so that a -- a transcript of video footage in particular would be the appropriate to avoid the prejudice of -- unless, footnote, obviously if the footage or the audiotape were otherwise admissible independently it's not an issue, it would then be in evidence. But assuming for purposes of this discussion that neither the video or an

evidence purposes.

22

23

24

25

MS. OKEN: We're happy to take a look. transcripts of the government have been produced for quite some time and this is the first we're hearing of any issues with them, but we are happy to take a look and see if we can

come to an agreement on --

THE COURT: It would not be unusual that -particularly all of us from New York, we're not exactly
enunciating every syllable. So sometimes things sound strange
on a tape. So that some ears will hear it one way and other
ears will hear it another way. That is not a shock.

MS. OKEN: It is not, Your Honor. As I mentioned, we're happy to take a look at any proposed revisions.

THE COURT: But again -- that's possible. I suppose anything is possible but not likely that that's going to be the wording that prompts the impeachment.

You may disagree about the third word in three sentences. The audibility of that third word of, it but the overall thrust of the impeachment is not going to be effective, I would imagine.

Is it conceivable? Sure. It's conceivable that there may be disputed -- putting it another way. But I don't know if we have to go jump up and down about what the words mean. And if there's a dispute, you can even get some agreed thing that says, you know, it was either this or that, and then continue to read the balance of the -- of what everybody agrees was on the body camera.

MS. OKEN: Understood, Your Honor.

MS. SHERMAN: That's fine, Judge. And, again, as it comes up, you know, if there are specific instances where we

PROCEEDINGS

choose to call the person it was said to on our case we may do that in lieu of the transcript if there's an issue.

I'm not sure if the government had any additional issues. We just wanted to flag a few.

MS. OKEN: I think the only remaining one we wanted to note for the record, Your Honor, is that we do expect -- it seems as though the parties have been unable to agree to a stipulation as to the authenticity of 9-1-1 calls, so we do expect a custodian to testify today, who we arranged over the weekend to come in, as the authenticity of the 9-1-1 calls in the event that a stipulation is not signed before that time.

MS. SHERMAN: Judge, that's not accurate. We are agreeing to the authenticity of the 9-1-1 calls. The issue that we raised was that there still wasn't -- they were still -- the government was still intending to have us stipulate to calls that were not coming into evidence. The calls that had been precluded in previous rulings were included on that stipulation. So we asked the government to remove those calls and then we would sign a stipulation.

We also indicated we were never challenging the authenticity of the 9-1-1 calls at all.

THE COURT: And those calls should be removed, by the way, because ultimately it's the stipulation that's going into evidence, and then it's going to reference calls that the jury doesn't get.

PROCEEDINGS

MS. OKEN: Well, your Honor, I think -- I think my response to that is twofold.

The first is that we certainly agree that Your Honor's prior ruling held that two of the four 9-1-1 calls were not coming in for their content. We do expect, though, that the witness will testify about the fact of calling 9-1-1 just not about the content of those calls. And so to the extent the fact of calling 9-1-1 and the date and time --

THE COURT: But the stipulation is to be -- you want to -- what's your -- with respect to 9-1-1 calls that I ruled are not admissible, what is it that you intend to do with them?

MS. OKEN: Well, I think -- I should lead with my second point, Your Honor, which is that, Your Honor then ruled over the weekend that prior consistent statements of Victim 1 do come in. And so to the extent that those two prior 9-1-1 calls are this witness' prior consistent statement they no longer constitute hearsay, which was the basis for Your Honor's ruling for precluding them.

So I think we're sort of now back to the place we were before the motion in limine ruling where we do expect all four calls will come in because those two are no longer hearsay, they are prior consistent statements under Rule 801(d), as in dog, and so that I guess should have been point number one.

## PROCEEDINGS

Even in the absence of that, we still think the date and time and the fact that the call is something that can come into evidence regardless of whether the content could come in.

But we also think Your Honor has now ruled that these calls are no longer hearsay, because they're prior consistent statements and this victim's general credibility has been attacked.

THE COURT: Well, I haven't expressly ruled on any of your statements, just the right to use prior consistent statements subject to Rule 403. There are 57,006 prior consistent statements, they're not all coming in.

MS. OKEN: We certainly agree with that, Your Honor. We think there are -- and we tried, I apologize, Your Honor,

We think there are -- and we tried, I apologize, Your Honor, to lay out in our motion the specific items of prior consistent statements that we think -- that we think -- that we expect to use, and the two 9-1-1 calls that were previously excluded on hearsay grounds are one of those things.

MS. SHERMAN: Judge, I think just to respond, and this will -- we can also address what our concerns are.

We reviewed -- we understand what Your Honor's ruling is with the prior consistent statements. Obviously, we understand that also it's constrained by the Rules of Evidence. And so the government has to proffer specific consistent statements and by your ruling it's constrained by statements pertaining to alleged stalking, harassment and/or

PROCEEDINGS

threats by Chris Bantis in 2021. In addition, that it was —that they were made before any motive to fabricate. That's under the standard Rules of Evidence.

What the government has provided in their motion, and then updated the exhibits, contain a substantial part of Ms. Harrison's grand jury testimony that they are intending to introduce and publish for the jury, which is rambling, confusing, has sections that have nothing to do with alleged stalking, harassment or threats. It details her family's medical issues, her financial issues, instances in 2018 where she doesn't -- where your order specifically went to 2021. An indication of some altercation that Mr. Bantis allegedly had with her brother back in 2018. Again, not specific, consistent statements that are subject to cross-examination.

And, in addition, they included text messages with Special Agent Tambrino where she's detailing her generalized fears. She's asking him to send police officers because she fears something is going to happen. Again, they're not specific instances or consistent statements, which is construed by your ruling.

So I think that, in general, we understand your ruling and so we're not going to revisit it, but we just would -- we just would like to flag that there has to be a baseline for what's considered a consistent statement and within your ruling.

PROCEEDINGS

MS. OKEN: And, Your Honor, we certainly agree that has not opened the door for everything this witness has ever said to now come in.

I do think we disagree with one piece of what Ms. Sherman just said, and that is that under (d)(1)(A), the statements have to have occurred prior to a purported motive to fabricate. That is not -- that is not the sole basis that these statements come in.

801(d)(1)(B) provides that statements come in to rehabilitate the declarant's credibility as a witness when attacked on other grounds. So, for example, when there is a general, overall attack on the victim's credibility, which I think Your Honor's ruling was quite clear, there was in the opening statement.

And so I think we agree that we are certainly constrained by the language of Your Honor's ruling. We certainly agree that this has not opened the door for every single thing that this witness has ever said to come into evidence, but we do expect that the prior consistent statements that pertain to alleged stalking, harassment and/or threats by Chris Bantis in 2021 are admissible for their truth.

MS. SHERMAN: Judge, just to respond to that. I just want to read from a case, *United States versus Portillo*, which is 969 F.3d 144, 174 to 75, out of the Fifth Circuit. I

PROCEEDINGS

understand that's not our controlling circuit from 2020. And it says:

"In the advisory committee's note to the 2014 amendment, the committee explained that the amendment does not change the traditional and well-accepted limits on bringing prior consistent statements before the fact finder for credibility purposes." Internal citations.

The *Tome* limitation predates Rule 801 and was a well established common law principle that was accepted by the drafters of the rule. Then it cites *Tome*.

In order to safely give effect to the drafters' intentions, courts must interpret Rule 801 with this rule in mind. In parentheses. The notes disclose a purpose to adhere to the common law in the application of evidentiary principles absent express provisions to the contrary. If the Tome limitation is mapped onto Rule 801(d), as in dog, (1)(B), as in boy, (2), a litigant may not introduce a prior consistent statement if that statement was made at the time when the litigant allegedly had a motive to fabricate. Even if the litigant supplements his attack on the witness' credibility by pointing to other flaws in the declarant's testimony.

MS. OKEN: Your Honor, I don't know that we disagree with any of that, that the 2014 was -- amendment, I apologize, was intended to preserve the *Tome* motive pool while, quote, properly expanding substantive admissibility to statements

1 offered to rehabilitate on other grounds.

In other words, statements that had always been admitted as rehabilitation are now admitted as substantive evidence. And so we think Your Honor's ruling was accurate and reasonable, and we expect to introduce prior consistent statements in accordance with it.

THE COURT: So this was not with respect to witness number one but with the subsequent one -- witness.

MS. OKEN: This is with respect to -- not the first witness of the day, not John Doe 1, but this is with respect to Victim 1.

I apologize, there's a lot of Victim 1 and John

Doe 1, and so this does not apply to our first witness, but it

does apply to a witness who we expect will testify today.

THE COURT: Give us a chance to take a look at that.

MS. SHERMAN: And -- then Judge, just -- and, again, we're not sure the order the government is producing witnesses, and so this may not be relevant today or before this first witness, but we just wanted to address the Court's other ruling from yesterday as to certain exhibits.

We received updated exhibits after the Court's ruling from the government. And based on the updated exhibits, it seems that the government is still trying to introduce Government Exhibit 520, 523, and 525.

They did redact certain statements. But Your

PROCEEDINGS

Honor's ruling was very clear, it says, "Consistent with the Court's prior order regarding evidence referencing organized crime, proposed exhibits 520, 521, 523 and 525 are inadmissible in the entirety."

They're also, it seems, still trying to introduce portions of Government Exhibit 532 and 536. Again, they did some redactions. The government was -- I'm sorry, Your Honor, was even more clear on those exhibits saying, "Regarding proposed Exhibits 532 and 536, absent a representation by the government that it will introduce other evidence that independently connects these messages to the retaliation alleged in this case, the Court finds these proposed exhibits not relevant for any purpose beyond establishing a propensity for animus against cooperating witnesses. Further, the text messages would be, even in redacted form, highly prejudicial and would substantially risk confusing the jury. And unless and until the government makes such represent a representation, these exhibits are inadmissible in their entirety under Rules 401 and 403."

MS. OKEN: I think I can address this very briefly, Your Honor.

We did produce a number of exhibits yesterday that we had redacted in accordance with the Court's prior rulings.

That was -- we obviously are in receipt of an additional ruling from yesterday. We have no expectation of introducing

PROCEEDINGS 107 1 any of the exhibits that were governed by that ruling 2 without -- without first raising it with the Court. And so --3 but I think that the quickest way to short circuit all of this is that none of this will come up today. 5 THE COURT: The ruling is pretty clear, so it 6 shouldn't come up at all. 7 All right. Anything else? 8 MS. OKEN: Nothing from the government, Your Honor. 9 MS. SHERMAN: Only one guick thing. 10 Judge, we did -- I believe that the recordings are 11 going to be played this morning if they're intending to call 12 John Doe 1, George Harrison, first. 13 We did send two proposed words to be redacted from 14 the recordings to the government based on the inflammatory 15 nature of the words themselves and that they don't actually go 16 to motive. The government, our understanding, is not willing 17 to redact them or because they had already gone through it 18 with their witness, we just wanted to put it on the record 19 that we did proposes these redactions. 20 The first is use of a homophobic slur, which is on 21 page 3 of 4 of government transcript 12, I believe it is, 12T. 22 It's just one word. And we're asking to redact that one word. 23 And then the other was a word that is derogatory 24 towards women. So those were two words. That's what we asked

the government. Our understanding, we spoke to them this

25

1 morning, is not prepared to make those redactions.

MS. OKEN: Your Honor, these were exhibits that were the subject of Your Honor's prior ruling. They were deemed admissible. I'm happy to just sort of go through why we think it's admissible, but I think we've done that already. Your Honor has done a prejudice, probative analysis and -- on those recordings determined that they were admissible.

THE COURT: We spent time on reviewing the exhibits and the rulings that we made were quite specific. Could have -- is it possible we might have missed something? It is. But those are the rulings that we made and we adhere to them.

MS. OKEN: And I think with that, Your Honor, at least from the government's perspective, we are ready to bring in the jury.

THE COURT: So let me raise this with counsel.

It might be helpful to alert the jury, and I assume, Ms. Oken, the witness is come -- will be coming in after the jury is seated? What is your plan there?

MS. OKEN: It's a good point, Your Honor. And I think perhaps with respect to the first witness, it may be best to bring the witness in first. With respect to the others, I don't know that we have a preference either way, but for this particular witness, it may make sense to bring the witness in.

THE COURT: Normally it would be, once the witness

109

1 has been seated, then I normally have the witness come in 2 before the jury is seated. To the extent a witness is being 3 called, I usually have the witness come in at that point after 4 the jury is seated. 5 So, yes, we can do that. And I -- the further point 6 that I raised, and I seek advice of counsel, I might make a 7 general advisory that we have a witness that has health needs, 8 so that it's possible that we would have to take a break 9 during the course of the testimony, and we'll make that 10 determination based on the advice of the health care 11 professional. 12 I think we don't object to that, Your MS. OKEN: 13 Honor, but we'd also be happy if the Court prefers to do it in 14 sort of a more vague fashion and say it may be possible that 15 the parties or the Court or, you know, may need to take a 16 break. And if that happens, we'll alert the jury so that they 17 can file out. But we defer to the Court on a ruling on that. 18 THE COURT: I vacillated on but what was -- what 19 prompted it was the advice of the health care professional we 20 seated next to the witness -- near the witness. 21 MS. OKEN: That's right, Your Honor, the health care 22 professional will be --23 THE COURT: So even if nothing happens, the jury may 24 speculate about that.

MS. HIROZAWA: Your Honor, how -- perhaps this is a

25

question best directed to the government. How close would the health care professional need to sit?

MS. OKEN: I think, Your Honor, we had -- the Court's deputy had assisted us to put a seat out --

THE COURT: Normally we would put a seat right near the witness box.

MS. OKEN: That's right. And we don't -- we don't think it's going to be -- it will be a quite subtle, it's not going to be, you know, it's -- and this may also counsel in favor of bringing in the witness and the health care professional first so that we can get everybody settled and it doesn't draw the jury's attention to anything unusual going on. There will be agents and marshals and spectators sitting in the courtroom today. I don't know that there will be anything notable about this -- about this arrangement to the jury without us drawing their attention to it.

THE COURT: Based on this health care professional is a nurse, and is the professional wearing civilian clothes?

MS. OKEN: She's wearing civilian clothes, Your Honor. She's not wearing scrubs or anything that will draw attention to her as a medical professional.

THE COURT: That changes it also.

MS. OKEN: I apologize, Your Honor --

THE COURT: Then it's less significant.

MS. OKEN: I may have been mistaken, Your Honor. I

111

just want to confirm what the health care professional is
wearing before I put it on the record. I apologize.

3 THE COURT: Okay.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. OKEN: Your Honor, it sounds like she may be wearing scrubs, but does have a coat over them.

THE COURT: It can get awfully warm in here.

MS. OKEN: We can -- we're happy to request that she keep it on.

We do think from a health standpoint it makes sense for her to sit close to the witness because her purpose is to alert everybody if something is sort of going south.

We are happy to have that addressed either not at all, if the Court or the defense thinks that will just draw attention to it, but we're also happy to have the Court instruct the jury on it if that --

THE COURT: I mean here's -- the other piece is, as I understand it, the witness is in a wheelchair.

MS. OKEN: That's correct, Your Honor. So the Court won't be telling them anything they can't see with their own eyes.

THE COURT: Then obviously there's a witness with special needs, and those special needs may require a recess.

MS. OKEN: Fair enough, Your Honor, we have no issue with that.

MS. HIROZAWA: Your Honor, I think we would prefer a

1 | more general instruction. I don't think that --

arrive in civilian clothing.

THE COURT: Come up with it, Ms. Hirozawa.

MS. HIROZAWA: I think simply stating that the Court may take a break at some point during the course of the witness' testimony would be sufficient.

Obviously, it's highly prejudicial that the medical staff accompanying this witness is in scrubs. Certainly that's not something that we anticipated or discussed with the government beforehand because it seemed simple enough to

But, in any event, given that the government has moved to preclude the defense from referencing any of Mr. Bantis' medical conditions, I think it would be -- I think the purpose of that is to avoid eliciting sympathy from the jury, I think it would be inappropriate to address the witness' medical condition.

THE COURT: That will be untouched.

MS. OKEN: And, Your Honor, I think one final point that I neglected to mention is the transcript binders that were set out for the jury last week have been modified, a copy of them has been provided to the defense. And within them right now are only the transcripts that have accompanying exhibits that were previously admitted or that the government expects to move into today and have been covered by the Court's prior rulings.

PROCEEDINGS 114 1 (Witness takes the witness stand.) 2 GEORGE HARRISON, called as a witness, having been first duly 3 sworn/affirmed, was examined and testified as follows: 4 (Jury enters the courtroom.) 5 THE COURT: Be seated, please. Counsel will 6 stipulate that the jury is present and properly seated. 7 MS. OKEN: So stipulated, Your Honor. 8 MS. HIROZAWA: So stipulated. 9 THE COURT: Thank you, counsel. 10 Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, welcome. The last 11 time we gathered on Thursday, we are now ready to proceed. 12 As I indicated to you last week, there are really 13 two phases to any trial. One is the fact phase. And that's 14 totally within your province as the jury. And the other is 15 the law phase. All cases create legal issues as well and that 16 the Court and counsel have to attend to them outside the 17 presence and hearing of the jury. And so that's what we've 18 been doing while you were back in the jury deliberation room. 19 But we are now ready to proceed. Again, we all 20 appreciate your prompt attendance and the sacrifices that you 21 make in your lives and the lives of your family and business 22 associates by performing your civic duty as members of this 23 jury. 24 With that we are ready, we have a witness, and, 25 Ms. Oken, are you handling the witness?

Case 1	21-cr-00483-ENV Document 123 Filed 12/02/22 Page 26 of 252 PageID #: 1288
	G. HARRISON - DIRECT - MS. McGRATH 115
1	MS. McGRATH: Your Honor, this is Tara McGrath.
2	THE COURT: Ms. McGrath. Thank you.
3	MS. McGRATH: Your Honor, at this time, just for the
4	record, we call George Harrison to the witness stand.
5	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please raise your right hand.
6	THE WITNESS: I do.
7	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please state your first and
8	last name, and spell it for the record.
9	THE WITNESS: George Harrison. G-E-O-R-G-E.
10	H-A-R-I-S-O-N.
11	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you.
12	THE COURT: Ms. McGrath, you may inquire.
13	MS. McGRATH: Thank you, Your Honor.
14	DIRECT EXAMINATION
15	BY MS. McGRATH:
16	Q Good morning.
17	What was your name at birth?
18	A George Harrison.
19	Q Did you subsequently change your name?
20	A Yes, I did.
21	Q Why did you do that?
22	A Because I was afraid for Chris Bantis.
23	MS. SHERMAN: Objection.
24	THE COURT: Overruled.
25	Q For today's proceedings, we'll refer to you by your name

Case 1	21-cr-00483-ENV Document 123 Filed 12/02/22 Page 27 of 252 PageID #: 1289
	G. HARRISON - DIRECT - MS. McGRATH 116
1	at birth.
2	Mr. Harrison, how old are you?
3	A Forty-nine years old.
4	Q Where did you grow up?
5	A In Brooklyn, New York. Like the Dyker Heights area.
6	Q Do you have any siblings?
7	A Yes, I do.
8	Q How many?
9	A Six.
10	Q What are your names?
11	A Ann, Lisa, Christine, Donna, Jessie, and James.
12	Q Who is the youngest?
13	A Jessie.
14	MS. McGRATH: I'm going to show you a photo marked
15	Government Exhibit 1.
16	And, Your Honor, may I approach the witness?
17	THE COURT: You may.
18	(Counsel approaches the witness.)
19	Q Do you recognize this?
20	A Yes, I do.
21	Q What is it?
22	A That is Christopher Bantis.
23	MS. McGRATH: Your Honor, the government moves
24	Government Exhibit 1 into evidence.
25	THE COURT: Any objection?

Case 1	21-cr-00	0483-ENV Document 123 Filed 12/02/22 Page 28 of 252 PageID #: 1290
		G. HARRISON - DIRECT - MS. McGRATH 117
1		MS. HIROZAWA: No objection.
2		THE COURT: Received in evidence without objection.
3		(Government Exhibit 1, was received in evidence.)
4		MS. McGRATH: And may we publish.
5		THE COURT: You may indeed.
6		(Exhibit published.)
7	Q I	How did you meet Chris Bantis?
8	Α	Through Troy Magalhaes.
9	Q A	And at a very high level, who is that?
10	A I	My sister's Christine's boyfriend.
11	Q A	And approximately when was that?
12	A I	Roughly about maybe 10 to 12 years ago.
13	Q V	Were you and Chris Bantis friends?
14	A V	We were never friends. It was more like a business
15	associ	iation.
16	Q I	Directing your attention to 2013, were you employed?
17	Α	Yes, I was.
18	Q V	Where?
19	A 1	New York City Department of Sanitation.
20	Q A	And still directing your attention to 2013, did your
21	finand	cial situation change?
22	A .	Yes.
23	Q I	Did you take any steps as a result of that?
24	Α .	Yes, I did.
25	Q V	What did you do?

Case 1	21-cr-00483-ENV Document 123 Filed 12/02/22 Page 29 of 252 PageID #: 1291
	G. HARRISON - DIRECT - MS. McGRATH 118
1	A I borrowed money from Christopher Bantis.
2	Q In connection with borrowing money, what, if any,
3	information did you give him about yourself?
4	A I had given him my address. My telephone number. My
5	Social Security number. My date of birth. I pretty much gave
6	him everything like if I was filling out like an application.
7	Q Why did you do that?
8	A Because he asked for it.
9	Q Did Chris Bantis charge you interest on the loan?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Did you make payments on the loan?
12	A Yes, I did.
13	Q How often?
14	A Every week.
15	Q Were the payments on interest or principle?
16	A It was on interest.
17	Q Did you ever miss a payment?
18	A Yes, I did.
19	Q What happened when you missed a payment?
20	A He went crazy on me.
21	MS. SHERMAN: Objection.
22	Q Can you describe what he did?
23	A He came to my house with
24	MS. SHERMAN: Objection. The relevance to the
25	instant case.

SIDEBAR CONFERENCE 120 1 (The following occurred at sidebar.) 2 MS. McGRATH: Your Honor, we have a few questions 3 for the witness establishing that he borrowed money from the 4 defendant, that there were threats made for that purpose, and 5 that he reported that information to law enforcement, and that 6 he also made consensual recordings. And so his --7 THE COURT: Just for that purpose? 8 MS. McGRATH: Correct, Your Honor. 9 MS. SHERMAN: Our concern is that he was about to 10 start going into specific instances of threats. 11 Again, we're not retrying 2014. Mr. Bantis pled quilty to the charges in 2014. And the issue here is the 12 13 alleged threats made in 2021 to members of his family. 14 So that's our concern, that he was starting to go 15 into specific instances of threats. 16 THE COURT: Preferably we are not relitigating the 17 2014 trial. 18 MS. McGRATH: Correct. 19 Your Honor, we agree with that, but there are two 20 reasons why it is very pertinent to the crime charged. 21 One is it explains why the defendant went to -- this 22 witness went to law enforcement. And also people can plead 23 quilty for any reason, it doesn't have to be because someone 24 was --

THE COURT: Right, but just the idea that we're

25

thing or not.

And we expect this to be limited testimony. don't expect there to be testimony concerning a firearm.

23

24

25

MS. SHERMAN: Just for the record, I'm sorry,

# SIDEBAR CONFERENCE

everyone can hear us, is there a way to put on the noise?

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: I took care of it.

THE COURT: You're giving us far too much credit for the voice projection. We can barely hear it here, much less over there. Fine.

MS. HIROZAWA: Also just for the record, I think it's extremely prejudicial under Rule 403. I think the testimony should be narrowly cabined.

MS. SHERMAN: If there is going to be testimony regarding specific threats, we'd ask for an instruction that this is not coming in for the truth, that these threats actually happened, that these specific threats they're trying to get in.

If they're just going to say "he threatened me," fine, we understand. But if they're going to try to get to specific instances, we are objecting to that, and we are asking for a limiting instruction that this is not coming for things that actually happened.

MS. McGRATH: Your Honor, to be clear, though, under the crime charged, under 1513(e), it's necessary for a retaliation because an individual provided truthful information about a possible offense to law enforcement.

And so we intend to elicit: One, that the threat was made after he made payment; and two, he subsequently provided that information to law enforcement. They wouldn't

# SIDEBAR CONFERENCE 123 1 be able to find that element if they were instructed that 2 information --3 THE COURT: It's relevant, not here, but it's 4 relevant. 5 MS. SHERMAN: Judge, we're just introducing --6 they're introducing the detention memo with threats. They're 7 introducing the sentencing witness impact statement. They're 8 introducing -- and again, we offered countless times --9 THE COURT: They have a right to prove their case --10 MS. SHERMAN: Of course. 11 THE COURT: -- their way. 12 MS. SHERMAN: Of course, but you also mentioned 13 cumulativeness, and the amount of times that this prejudicial 14 evidence is coming in, it's going to be coming in several 15 different ways and several different times. That's why we're 16 objecting to it. 17 MS. McGRATH: And, Your Honor, I'll just further 18 note that the recordings with respect to John Doe 1 have 19 prior -- consistent with your prior rulings, have been 20 scrubbed of the threats. There are no explicit threats on the 21 recordings with respect to John Doe 1. 22 MS. HIROZAWA: My recollection is the original

recordings did not include explicit threats.

23

24

25

MS. McGRATH: The original recordings included numerous conversations in which the defendant invoked his ties Case 1:21-cr-00483-ENV Document 123 Filed 12/02/22 Page 35 of 252 PageID #: 1297

```
G. HARRISON - DIRECT - MS. McGRATH
                                                             125
 1
     DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)
 2
     BY MS. McGRATH:
 3
          Mr. Harrison, before the break I asked you what happened
     when you missed a payment.
 5
          He had come to my house with a little bat and he had put
 6
     it to my face and he went crazy on me.
 7
               MS. SHERMAN: Objection.
 8
               THE COURT: Overruled.
 9
               Mr. Harrison, when you use the expression, you used
10
     it more than once, go crazy on you, what does that mean?
               THE WITNESS: Well, he was -- he was slurring his
11
12
     words and everything. He was -- he was -- he was not
13
     mentally --
14
               MS. SHERMAN: Objection.
15
               THE COURT: This is his sense impression.
16
               You may answer. You may continue to describe what
17
     you mean by goes crazy on you.
18
               THE WITNESS: He was yelling at me. He was
19
     screaming at me. He was throwing things around. And I had
20
     told him prior to this that I was gonna miss the payment and
21
     he must have forgot and he just went ballistic. He was
22
     like -- came to my house, put the little bat to my face, told
23
     me he was gonna kill me.
24
               THE COURT: But the expression that you used going
25
     crazy is referring to statements?
```

- G. HARRISON DIRECT MS. McGRATH 126
- 1 THE WITNESS: Yeah.
- 2 THE COURT: And the manner of the statements that --
- 3 THE WITNESS: Throwing things around like a tantrum.
- 4 BY MS. McGRATH:
- 5 Q Mr. Harrison, you referenced a little bat. What was it
- 6 made of?
- 7 A Wood.
- 8 Q At that time did you tell your sister Jessie about the
- 9 loan?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q Did you tell her about the threat?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q Why not?
- 14 A Because it wasn't her -- her -- her business.
- 15 Q Directing your attention to approximately 2014, did you
- 16 report Chris Bantis to law enforcement?
- 17 A Yes, I did.
- 18 Q Who did you report him to?
- 19 A To the FBI.
- 20 Q Why did you report him?
- 21 A Because I felt that my life and my brother's life were in
- 22 danger.
- 23 Q And at a very high level, what did you report to the FBI?
- 24 A That I had a loan out with Chris and I couldn't afford
- 25 the payments, and he was gonna come after me and kill me. And

- G. HARRISON DIRECT MS. McGRATH 127
- 1 | that my brother's life was in grave danger at the time.
- 2 Q You testified earlier about an incident when Chris Bantis
- 3 held a wooden bat to your face.
- 4 Did you tell the FBI about that?
- 5 A I believe so, yes.
- 6 Q At the time you reported Chris Bantis to the FBI, did you
- 7 | still owe him money?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Were you still meeting with him to make payments?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q After you reported him, what, if anything, did law
- 12 enforcement ask you to do?
- 13 A Make recordings, meet with Chris.
- 14 Q Do you recall the names of any of the FBI agents you met
- 15 | with during this process?
- 16 A Paul Tambrino. And then there was detectives from the
- 17 NYPD. Mike, I can't remember -- I can't remember his last
- 18 name.
- 19 Q To your knowledge, did your brother James also seek help
- 20 from the FBI?
- MS. SHERMAN: Objection.
- 22 THE COURT: As to form.
- MS. McGRATH: Your Honor, we can move on for the
- 24 moment.
- 25 BY MS. McGRATH:

18 A I -- my -- my initials and my date that I dated it is on

19 there.

20 Q Have you listened to the audio recordings on the CD?

21 A Yes, I have.

22 | Q Were they marked Government Exhibits 12, 13, 14, 15 and

23 16A?

24 A Yes.

25 Q And what are those exhibits?

MS. McGRATH: And, Your Honor, perhaps at this time you could instruct the jury the same.

THE COURT: Right.

21

22

23

24

25

You will recall we used the binder last time, and we are using it again for the same purpose. They are an aid to what is in evidence. What is in evidence is what you are

I was calling to tell him that I'd be there in the

- G. HARRISON DIRECT MS. McGRATH 132
- 1 morning to drop off money.
- 2 Q I will now direct your attention to Tab 14, and we will
- 3 | play what's in evidence as the June 4th, 2014 recording,
- 4 Government Exhibit 14.
- 5 (Audio played.) (Audio stopped.)
- 6 BY MS. McGRATH:
- 7 Q Do you recognize any of the voices on the call we just
- 8 listened to?
- 9 A Yes, it was me and Christopher Bantis.
- 10 Q And during the recording, did you hear someone state: I
- 11 give you 2,000 for a week and 2,000 another week?
- 12 A Yes, that was me.
- 13 Q What did you mean when you said that?
- 14 A I was trying to see if I can offer some kind -- get a
- 15 payment arrangement with Chris.
- 16 Q And did Chris Bantis agree?
- 17 A No.
- 18 Q I am going to play for you the June 19th, 2014 recording
- 19 in evidence as Government Exhibit 15, and I'll just direct you
- 20 to that tab.
- 21 (Audio played.) (Audio stopped.)
- 22 Q Do you recognize any voices on this call?
- 23 A Yes, me and -- me and Christopher Bantis.
- 24 Q And what were you doing during this call?
- 25 A I was calling him to let him know I'd be bringing him

break for the medication, and then if we need a longer break

```
Case 1:21-cr-00483-ENV Document 123 Filed 12/02/22 Page 45 of 252 PageID #: 1307
                    G. HARRISON - DIRECT - MS. McGRATH
                                                                134
  1
      we will take it closer to 12.
  2
                MS. McGRATH: Okay, thank you, Your Honor.
  3
                 (Recess taken.)
                 (In open court - jury not present.)
  5
                THE COURT: The deputy clerk is bringing the jury
  6
      in.
  7
                 (Pause.)
                 (Jury enters.)
  8
  9
                THE COURT: Be seated, please.
10
                Counsel will stipulate that the jury is present and
11
      properly seated.
12
                MS. McGRATH: Yes, Your Honor.
13
                MS. SHERMAN: So stipulated.
14
                THE COURT: Thank you, counsel.
15
                Ladies and gentlemen, welcome back. We are ready to
16
      resume.
17
                Ms. McGrath is still on her direct examination of
18
      Mr. Harrison.
19
                MS. McGRATH: Thank you, Your Honor.
20
      BY MS. McGRATH:
21
           Mr. Harrison, I am finally going to play for you the June
22
      19th, 2014 recording in evidence as Government Exhibit 16A,
23
      and it will be behind Tab 16.
24
                 (Audio played.) (Audio stopped.)
25
           Mr. Harrison, did you recognize any voices on the
```

SAM OCR RMR CRR RPR

G. HARRISON - DIRECT - MS. McGRATH 136 1 Government moves Government Exhibit 24 into evidence, the 2 sentencing transcript as self-authenticating pursuant to Rule 3 902(4). 4 THE COURT: Any objection? 5 Not other than our objection to the MS. SHERMAN: 6 cumulative nature. 7 THE COURT: Overruled. (Government Exhibit 24, was received in evidence.) 8 9 BY MS. McGRATH: 10 So, in your binder, Mr. Harrison , behind tab 24, if you 11 could just look at page 4 of that document. 12 Α Okay. 13 Do you recognize it? 14 Yes, I do. 15 What is it? 16 This is my victim impact statement. And just starting with page 4, can you read aloud for the 17 18 jury lines 17 to 19? 19 I am here to state today that I am a victim and I am 20 afraid that when this man gets out that he is gonna come after 21 me and my family. 22 And if you can just turn to the next page, page 5. 23 Can you please read aloud for the jury lines 5 24 through 11?

And I know that every day that while he sat in that jail

- G. HARRISON DIRECT MS. McGRATH 137 1 cell, that the only thing that he could think of is that when 2 he gets out is when he gets out he -- how he is going to harm 3 me and my family. I beg of you, because I have nightmares 4 about this man every day and I know eventually he will be let 5 out, but I beg of you do not make this reality come sooner 6 than it really has to be. 7 Were you kept up-to-date about the status of Chris 8 Bantis's case after sentencing? 9 Α Yes. 10 How? 11 Through the victim notification -- victim notification 12 through the -- like it was the VINE system, and also through 13 the PACER court system. 14 At a very high level, what is the PACER court system? 15 It gives you, like, updates about the court case. 16 Were you notified of any conditions that had been ordered 17 for your safety as part of the sentencing? 18 Yes. 19 And what were you -- what did you learn? 20 I learned that I had an order of protection. He had -- I 21 had an order of protection against him. I also learned that 22 he had restitution owed to me. I learned that -- I learned a 23 lot of things. 24
  - Q And you testified about an order of protection.

Who did that cover?

Yes, I did. Α

21 Why did you call Special Agent Tambrino?

22 Oh, I had called him when he was on probation because he 23 was menacing my sister's store.

24 MS. SHERMAN: Objection.

25 THE COURT: I am going to overrule it.

22 A I -
23 THE COURT: No.

24 Do you have a basis, Ms. McGrath?

MS. McGRATH: Your Honor, his testimony is

- G. HARRISON DIRECT MS. McGRATH 140
- 1 explaining why he took an action; specifically, why he called
- 2 | Special Agent Tambrino. And so, we don't need the statement
- 3 to come in for its truth, but rather to explain the effect on
- 4 the listener and his next course of action.
- 5 THE COURT: I'll allow it for that limited purpose.
- 6 BY MS. McGRATH:
- 7 Q Mr. Harrison, if you remember the question you can
- 8 | continue answering.
- 9 A All right. My mom called me and told me that Christopher
- 10 Bantis had -- had gone into the store and he started fighting
- 11 | with my sister Jessie inside the store. And then I called
- 12 the -- Paul.
- 13 THE COURT: So what that meant, Jury, is that
- 14 statement is not being admitted as evidence that that
- 15 happened, but this is being admitted to explain why Mr. Bantis
- 16 called the FBI.
- MS. McGRATH: Yes, Your Honor. And why Mr. Harrison
- 18 called the FBI.
- 19 THE COURT: Pardon me, Mr. Harrison called the FBI.
- 20 Q And you referenced a store again. What store are you
- 21 referring to?
- 22 A E&J Boutique.
- 23 Q To be clear, were you physically present during either of
- 24 the occasions you just described?
- 25 A No, not at all.

- G. HARRISON DIRECT MS. McGRATH 141
- 1 Q Do you have a cell phone?
- 2 A I do.
- 3 Q Was your cell phone number most recently 718-802-8082?
- 4 A Yes, it was.
- 5 Q You testified earlier that you didn't tell your sister
- 6 Jessie about the loan you took out from Chris.
- 7 When you reported him to the FBI more recently, did
- 8 you tell Jessie?
- 9 A I did.
- 10 Q And why did you do that?
- 11 A Because at that time he was pretty much now coming after
- 12 her.
- MS. SHERMAN: Objection.
- 14 THE COURT: Yes, that is sustained.
- 15 BY MS. McGRATH:
- 16 Q Growing up, what kind of relationship did you have with
- 17 your sister Jessie?
- 18 A Me and Jessie were always close.
- 19 Q And can you just -- what do you mean by that, you were
- 20 close?
- 21 A We were close. We hung out. We -- we -- we looked at
- 22 | baseball cards together, football cards. We collected cards
- 23 together. We talked a lot on the phone. We helped one
- 24 another out. You know, like the -- I'm the older brother,
- 25 | she's the younger sister. You know, we did, you know --

G. HARRISON - CROSS - MS. SHERMAN 143

- 1 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 2 BY MS. SHERMAN:
- 3 Q Good morning, Mr. Harrison.
- 4 A Good morning.
- 5 Q Now, Mr. Harrison, you testified on direct about the
- 6 extent of your cooperation in 2014 against Mr. Bantis,
- 7 correct?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And you participated in recorded conversations, correct?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And we just heard you testify that you spoke at his
- 12 | sentencing; yes?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q And you testified that you were notified that you were
- 15 going to get restitution in this case, correct?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q From Mr. Bantis?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And that's money, essentially; yes?
- 20 A I'm sorry?
- 21 Q That's money, correct?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Okay. And you also testified that the FBI helped you
- 24 | financially with relocating, correct?
- 25 A Yes.

I had an on-the-job injury.

21 question.

22 A I'm sorry?

23 Q You cooperated on another case with the FBI before

24 Mr. Bantis's case, correct?

25 A Yes, I did.

Case 1:21-cr-00483-ENV Document 123 Filed 12/02/22 Page 58 of 252 PageID #: 1320

SAM OCR RMR CRR RPR

sidebar 148 1 (The following sidebar took place outside the 2 hearing of the jury.) 3 MS. SHERMAN: Judge, the prior cooperation efforts 4 go to a witness' motive and credibility and bias. This is 5 another loansharking case that was done a couple of years 6 before this one. He participated in secret recordings. He 7 received restitution in the amount of \$45,000. That's what 8 was ordered. It's the same U.S. Attorney's office, same 9 courthouse. 10 It all goes towards his motive and credibility in 11 terms of motivation to testify falsely. 12 MS. McGRATH: Your Honor, insofar as we're concerned 13 about going into a sideshow with respect to the 2014 case, 14 certainly, going into a rabbit hole about an entirely 15 different case seems wholly inappropriate. 16 THE COURT: So, we can cut right to the chase. He participated in that case, the question is: 17 18 Were you an alleged victim in that case? 19 Did you get restitution? 20 MS. SHERMAN: That's fair. 21 THE COURT: Because it relates to his -- they are 22 free to make the argument to the jury that all of this is 23 because he was so successful in the other case. 24 MS. SHERMAN: Yes.

MS. McGRATH:

Your Honor, those two questions that

sidebar 149 1 you articulated seem fine to us. 2 Our concern is going into a rabbit hole about his 3 cooperation on an entirely different case with a defendant who 4 is not here. 5 MS. SHERMAN: I was not intending to elicit any 6 information about the name of that person anything like that. 7 THE COURT: Exactly. 8 MS. HIROZAWA: I do think that the nature of the 9 charge being the same --10 MS. SHERMAN: Loansharking. 11 MS. HIROZAWA: -- is relevant. 12 MS. OKEN: I'm not sure how this speaks to the 13 witness' credibility. His testimony is relevant for the 14 purpose of his providing assistance to law enforcement in this 15 case. 16 And I'm not sure of the relevance of a prior --17 THE COURT: They have a right to establish, if they 18 think they can, that he had a motive to provide the 19 information that he provided and that the information was not 20 truthful. 21 MS. OKEN: But even assuming he did, that would not 22 undermine the defendant's guilt in any way. He still provided 2.3 information to law enforcement. 24 MS. HIROZAWA: The truthful portion. 25 MS. SHERMAN: He's testifying about his credibility

1 in this case.

2 THE COURT: In this case.

MS. McGRATH: Your Honor, has significantly

4 precluded us from proving up the -- certain of the facts from

5 the 2014 case, which we completely understand, by virtue of

concern about going into a sideshow. And so, certainly

7 depending on --

8 THE COURT: That's why it is going to be very

9 limited.

10 MS. SHERMAN: I am asking those three questions that

11 | we just laid out: Victim, loansharking, restitution, that's

12 it.

19

20

21

22

6

THE COURT: That's it.

MS. McGRATH: Your Honor will just note we expect

15 there will be no further questions concerning additional

16 recorded conversations with respect to this other case, which

17 | also seems --

18 THE COURT: The other case?

MS. SHERMAN: No.

MS. McGRATH: The other case.

THE COURT: Yes, no.

MS. SHERMAN: I already asked that.

23 THE COURT: That is definitely not going in.

24 (Sidebar concluded.)

25 (Continued on the following page.)

- G. HARRISON CROSS MS. SHERMAN 151
- 1 (In open court jury present.)
- 2 MS. SHERMAN: May I proceed?
- 3 THE COURT: You may.
- 4 MS. SHERMAN: Thank you.
- 5 CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)
- 6 BY MS. SHERMAN:
- 7 Q And in that other case, the charge in which you were
- 8 | cooperating was also loansharking, correct?
- 9 A Yes, it was.
- 10 Q And you were an alleged victim in that case as well,
- 11 | correct?
- 12 A Yes, I was.
- 13 Q And as a result of that case, you -- there was an order
- of restitution as well, correct?
- 15 A Yes, there was.
- 16 Q To be paid to you?
- 17 A Yes, there was.
- 18 Q Now, Mr. Harrison, the recordings that we listened to
- during your direct were made in 2014, correct?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And Mr. Bantis's sentencing where you gave a statement,
- 22 that was in 2016, correct?
- 23 A I believe so.
- 24 Q And until you walked into court today, you had not seen
- 25 Mr. Bantis since 2016, correct?

you knew that Mr. Bantis believed James had left him a threatening voicemail, correct?

24 (Continued on the following page.)

21

22

23

25

Yes.

Case 1	21-cr-00483-ENV Document 123 Filed 12/02/22 Page 64 of 252 PageID #: 1326
	G. HARRISON - CROSS - MS. SHERMAN 153
1	CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)
2	BY MS. SHERMAN:
3	Q Based on other conversations you had with Mr. Bantis, you
4	understood that that voicemail contained threats against
5	Mr. Bantis' family, correct?
6	A That is correct.
7	Q Back in 2014 your brother James admitted to you that he
8	called Mr. Bantis and pretended to be somebody else, correct?
9	A I don't know about him pretending to be somebody else.
10	MS. SHERMAN: May I approach the witness, your
11	Honor?
12	THE COURT: Sure.
13	MS. SHERMAN: I'm going to ask that you read this to
14	yourself and when you're done reading it let me know.
15	(Witness reviewing document.)
16	THE COURT: Identify the page, Ms. Sherman.
17	MS. SHERMAN: 3500-GH-1.
18	THE WITNESS: Okay.
19	BY MS. SHERMAN:
20	Q Does that refresh your recollection as to whether your
21	brother James admitted to you that he had called Mr. Bantis
22	pretending to be somebody else?
23	MS. McGRATH: Objection, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: I'll allow.
25	A Yes.

- G. HARRISON REDIRECT MS. McGRATH 155
- 1 money. So I couldn't -- and that came out to an extra couple
- 2 hundreds dollars a week in my paycheck that I couldn't make.
- 3 So I needed to borrow money to cover those expenses.
- And my wife was constantly taking off of work to
- 5 bring me to medical appointments. And she didn't have sick
- 6 hours, so she was taking off without pay on some of those
- 7 hours.
- 8 Q You were also asked a number of questions about James
- 9 calling Chris Bantis pretending to be someone else. Do you
- 10 remember that?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Were you ever present when James made one of these
- 13 alleged calls?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q Did you hear about this from Chris Bantis?
- 16 A I don't remember who I heard it from to be honest.
- 17 Q Did Chris Bantis ever tell you why he wanted to kill your
- 18 brother James?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q What did he say?
- 21 A He had told me --
- 22 MS. SHERMAN: Objection. Beyond the scope of cross.
- THE COURT: No, it's not. You may proceed. You
- 24 asked about the call.
- 25 A He had told me that -- I don't --

Case 1	:21-cr-00483-ENV Document 123 Filed 12/02/22 Page 67 of 252 PageID #: 1329
	G. HARRISON - REDIRECT - MS. McGRATH 156
1	MS. McGRATH: We're happy to withdraw the question.
2	That's all from the Government. Thank you very much.
3	THE COURT: Very good.
4	Ms. Sherman, any recross?
5	MS. SHERMAN: No, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Then we've concluded with this witness.
7	We'll take a slightly longer mid-morning break and have a
8	chance to reconfigure the courtroom, let Mr. Harrison to leave
9	the courtroom. It will be easier if you're more comfortable
10	in the jury liberation, so take that break.
11	I ask you again to not to speak amongst yourselves
12	or anyone else that you may run into in the back and continue
13	to keep an open mind. We'll see you shortly.
14	(Jury exits the courtroom.)
15	MS. OKEN: Can we clear the courtroom?
16	THE COURT: The Court is in recess.
17	(Brief recess.)
18	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All Rise. Court is back in
19	session. Counsel for both sides are present, including
20	defendant.
21	THE COURT: Welcome back. Are we ready to proceed?
22	MS. OKEN: We are, your Honor.
23	MS. HIROZAWA: Yes, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Who is handling the next witness?
25	MS. OKEN: Ms. Oken for the Government.

Case 1	1-cr-00483-ENV Document 123 Filed 12/02/22 Page 68 of 252 PageID #: 1330
	G. HARRISON - REDIRECT - MS. McGRATH 157
1	MS. HIROZAWA: It depends on who the next witness
2	es.
3	MS. OKEN: We'll be calling Andrew Rendeiro.
4	MS. HIROZAWA: Ms. Sherman will be handling that.
5	(Jury enters the courtroom.)
6	THE COURT: Be seated, please. Counsel will
7	stipulate that the jury is present want properly seated.
8	MS. OKEN: So stipulated by the Government.
9	MS. HIROZAWA: So stipulated by the defense.
10	THE COURT: Thank you, counsel.
11	Ladies and gentlemen, welcome back. We're ready to
12	finish off our morning session. Ms. Oken tells me she has
13	another witness.
14	MS. OKEN: We do. The Government calls Andrew
15	Rendeiro.
16	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Raise your right hand.
17	(Witness takes the witness stand.)
18	ANDREW RENDEIRO, called as a witness, having been first duly
19	sworn/affirmed, was examined and testified as follows:
20	THE WITNESS: Yes.
21	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: State and spell your full
22	name.
23	THE WITNESS: Andrew Rendeiro.
24	THE COURT: Ms. Oken, you may inquire.
25	MS. OKEN: Thank you, your Honor.

- A. RENDEIRO DIRECT MS. OKEN 159
- 1 represented him. I met his family.
- 2 Q Directing your attention to 2014 specifically, did you
- 3 | represent Chris Bantis in connection with a criminal case?
- 4 A I did.
- 5 Q Were you appointed counsel or retained counsel?
- 6 A Retained counsel.
- 7 Q Can you tell us what it means to be retained counsel?
- 8 MS. HIROZAWA: Objection.
- 9 THE COURT: It's introductory. Make it brief.
- 10 A Retained counsel and appointed counsel are exactly the
- 11 same, except in a retained-counsel situation the client or the
- 12 family chooses the lawyer.
- 13 Q At a high level, what kind of case was it that you
- 14 represented Mr. Bantis in this 2014?
- 15 A I don't remember the exact charges, I think it had to do
- 16 | with money, loan sharking.
- 17 Q Did the Government produce discovery in connection with
- 18 | that case?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Again, can you tell us as a general matter what is
- 21 discovery?
- 22 A Generally discovery is I guess another word for evidence
- 23 | to an extent. It's paperwork, it's documents that the
- 24 Government has that they have to give to a person that they
- 25 accuse of a crime so they can have a fair opportunity to

A. RENDEIRO - DIRECT - MS. OKEN

- 1 defend themselves.
- 2 Q In connection with your work with your criminal defense
- 3 | work specifically, what do you typically do when you receive
- 4 discovery?
- 5 A Typically, typically I think I do it, all lawyers do, is
- 6 review it and discuss it with my client.
- 7 Q Is it your typical practice to share it with clients?
- 8 A If a client wants a copy of something, I'll give it to
- 9 them if they are allowed to have it. If there are rules from
- 10 | the Court saying they are not allowed to it, then I wouldn't
- 11 give it to them. In Chris' case I don't remember if he wanted
- 12 any documents himself or not.
- 13 Q Is it your usual practice to review discovery with your
- 14 clients?
- 15 A Yes. Again, I would always offer to review discovery
- 16 | with a client. But if a client doesn't want to be bothered,
- 17 then I don't force them. They are grown ups.
- 18 Q I'm going to show you, the witness only, your Honor, what
- 19 is marked Government Exhibit 22.
- THE COURT: You may.
- 21 A You're going to have to do a little better with the
- 22 | blurriness with this if you want me to read it or loan me
- 23 | somebody's reading glasses.
- Q Do you see a stamp on this document on the right side?
- 25 A I see it.

Case 1	21-cr-00483-ENV Document 123 Filed 12/02/22 Page 72 of 252 PageID #: 1334
	A. RENDEIRO - DIRECT - MS. OKEN 161
1	Q Is that a stamp from the clerk's office?
2	A It looks like it, yes.
3	Q Does that stamp indicate this is it a certified or a true
4	copy of this document?
5	A It's acknowledges that it's a true copy.
6	MS. OKEN: We move to admit Government Exhibit 22
7	for pursuant to 902(f) as a self-authenticating document.
8	THE COURT: Any objection?
9	MS. SHERMAN: None other than the previously made
10	objections.
11	MS. OKEN: May we publish Government Exhibit 22?
12	THE COURT: Received. You may publish.
13	(Government Exhibit 22, was received in evidence.)
14	BY MS. OKEN:
15	Q What is the date on this letter?
16	A July 29, 2014.
17	Q Is Government 22 on letterhead?
18	A It is.
19	Q Whose letterhead is it on?
20	A That's yours.
21	Q Does that mean it's a prosecutor's office?
22	A The United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern
23	District of New York.
24	Q Taking a look at the content of this letter, what kind of
25	letter is it?

- 1 A Discovery letter.
- 2 Q What case does it relate to?
- 3 A U.S. vs. Chris Bantis.
- 4 Q Do you see where it says: Dear Mr. Rendeiro?
- 5 THE COURT: And the docket number, Mr. Rendeiro?
- 6 A It is 14 -- Ms. Oken, let's get that zooming in going
- 7 again.
- 8 Q There we go.
- 9 A 14-388, then the judge's initials ENV.
- THE COURT: What that means, this was not produced
- 11 in connection with the case before you. This was produced
- 12 only in connection with the previous case that you already
- 13 heard about where Mr. Rendeiro represented Mr. Bantis in 2014.
- 14 That's what that 14 signifies, that was the year that the
- 15 Indictment was issued by the Grand Jury.
- MS. OKEN: Thank you, your Honor.
- 17 BY MS. OKEN:
- 18 Q Zooming back out. First paragraph: Dear Mr. Rendeiro.
- 19 Do you see where it says: Dear Mr. Rendeiro?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Can you please read the first sentence after, Dear
- 22 Mr. Rendeiro?
- 23 A Sure. It says: Enclosed please find the Government's
- 24 discovery in accordance with Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of
- 25 Criminal Procedure. Government also requests reciprocal

- 1 discovery from the defendant.
- 2 Q Thank you. Do you see the headline below that says
- 3 | statement of the defendant?
- 4 A I do.
- 5 Q Can you please read that paragraph?
- 6 A It says: Enclosed please find one DVD marked disk one
- 7 | containing statements of the defendant on one consensual audio
- 8 recordings made by individual referred to in the above caption
- 9 Indictment as John Doe. And two voicemail recordings left by
- 10 the defendant for the individual referred to in the
- 11 Government's memorandum in support of the pretrial detention
- docket number three as John Doe number two.
- 13 Q Do you see there is a footnote reference in that
- 14 paragraph?
- 15 A I see it.
- 16 Q Can you zoom in on that footnote? Is that reference to a
- 17 | protective order in the case?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Was there in fact a protective order in the case?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Did that protective order permit the defendant to review
- 22 | those recordings when in the presence of defense counsel?
- 23 A I think so. But that sounds like what it says; but it's
- 24 not in front of me right now.
- 25 Q Would it refresh your recollection to look at it?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Let's show the witness only Government Exhibit 21. I'll
- 3 direct your attention to the second to last paragraph on page
- 4 one. This is just for the witness only. Read that to
- 5 yourself Mr. Rendeiro.
- 6 (Witness reviewing document.)
- 7 A Okay.
- 8 Q Does that refresh your recollection --
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q -- as to whether the protective order permitted the
- defendant to review those in the presence of counsel?
- 12 A It does.
- 13 Q Was this case ultimately resolved?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q How was it resolved generally?
- 16 A You want me to answer that? I don't know -- I can tell
- 17 | you he pled guilty to a charge, I don't know what charge it
- 18 was.
- 19 Q That's all I'm looking for. I'm going to show the
- 20 witness only Government Exhibit 26. I'll direct your
- 21 attention to page one where it says transcript of criminal
- 22 cause for pleading.
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Can you tell me what this document is?
- 25 A It is the minutes of the plea.

So who is present is the court clerk, obviously the

judge, Kristen Mace, the prosecutor from your office,

24

- 1 Mr. Tamborino, I don't know who that is, me, and Mr. Bantis.
- 2 Q At a very high level, can you summarize for us what
- 3 happens at a change of plea hearing?
- 4 A Generally?
- 5 Q Generally.
- 6 A Generally at a change of plea hearing, a person would
- 7 | have previously entered a not quilty to the charges against
- 8 them, then negotiated a plea bargaining agreement with the
- 9 Government to end the case. Then is brought back to court,
- 10 like a courtroom like this, with all the different people like
- 11 here, where they then acknowledge on the record that they are
- 12 withdrawing their previously entered not guilty plea and
- 13 replacing it with a guilty plea that summarizes an agreement
- 14 between the Government and themselves.
- 15 Q Does something called an allocution typically happen at a
- 16 | guilty plea?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Can you describe what is an allocution?
- 19 A An allocution is when a person accused or charged with a
- 20 crime, whether innocent or not, changed their plea to a guilty
- 21 plea for whatever reason they are changing their plea to a
- 22 | quilty plea, the judge asks them, and sometimes the prosecutor
- asks them, about whether they know their rights that they are
- 24 giving up before they plea, and what the consequences of the
- 25 plea are, and whether they have doing this freely and

- 1 | voluntarily, and maybe some of the things I forgot if you
- 2 would like to add.
- 3 Q None at all. I'll direct your attention to page five of
- 4 this document. Do you see line ten where the Court says: All
- 5 right?
- 6 A All right.
- 7 Q I'll ask you to read aloud the next four lines below
- 8 that.
- 9 A I read the charge to you a few minutes ago, I want you to
- 10 | tell me in your own words what happened between October 2013
- 11 and July 2014 in connection with this extension of credit to
- 12 John Doe.
- 13 That was from the judge.
- 14 Q Let's look at the immediate response to that -- first
- 15 I'll ask you, did the defendant respond?
- 16 A It appears so. The court reporter wrote that he did.
- 17 Q What did he say?
- 18 A It says: I loaned money to brothers James and George
- 19 with the understanding that violence could be used to collect
- 20 the payments.
- 21 Q Let's turn now to page 24 of the transcript, which I
- 22 | believe is either page five or six of this document. I would
- 23 like to direct your attention to line six. Who is speaking
- 24 there?
- 25 A The prosecutor from your office, Kristen Mace.

- 1 Q Can you read what she says from line six to 17?
- 2 A Your Honor, I would like to clarify, John Doe that's
- 3 | named in the Indictment, the defendants referred to two
- 4 different individuals that he made extensions of credit to, I
- 5 | want to make clear for the record that John Doe is George.
- 6 I'll also ask that the Court seal that portion of the
- 7 | transcript that refers to John Doe's name. Also for clarity,
- 8 the individual who is John Doe is the person who is on a
- 9 recording that was provided in discovery to the defendant on
- 10 July 29, 2014. And so I would just like to make that clear
- 11 who we're talking about for the record.
- 12 That was from Ms. Mace.
- 13 Q Looking down to the next line, line 18, did the Court ask
- 14 whether that was correct?
- 15 A Yes. On line 18 the judge says: Okay. Is that correct,
- 16 Mr. Bantis?
- 17 Q Did he respond and confirm that it was, correct?
- 18 A I responded: Yes. Yes, your Honor.
- 19 And then there are more words I can't see.
- 20 Q We'll zoom in on all of those final words. Let's go to
- 21 the bottom. Did Mr. Bantis also confirm that it was correct?
- 22 A Yes. So I answered the judge, I shouldn't have answered
- 23 | the judge, the judge wasn't speaking to me, I answered any
- 24 way.
- That's why I said: Yes. Yes, your Honor. Oh, I'm

Kristen Mace from your office is the prosecutor, a Probation

Officer Jennifer Fisher, who is physically present in the

24

- 1 | courtroom, I'm there, judge is there. It doesn't say anything
- 2 about Mr. Bantis being there, I'm guessing he was there as
- 3 well.
- 4 Q Do you recall that he was there?
- 5 A It's his sentencing, it's his party, I assume he's there.
- 6 Q Let's take a look at page three. Looking there, did any
- 7 | victim or victims appear at the sentencing?
- 8 A The person named George Harrison.
- 9 Q Did Mr. Harrison speak at the sentencing?
- 10 A Yes. As I'm reading down further it says that
- 11 Mr. Harrison was making a statement.
- 12 Q I'm going to direct your attention to page five of the
- document, which I believe will be page six of the transcript.
- 14 Did the court inquire here about a presentence report?
- 15 A Yes. The judge asked if both sides received a copy of
- 16 the presentence report prepared by probation.
- 17 Q At a very high level, can you explain for us what a
- 18 presentence report is?
- 19 A So a presentence report is a report prepared by the
- 20 probation department that outlines a number of things about a
- 21 sentence that is coming up, including what the charges are,
- 22 | but also things about the person being accused. They talk
- 23 about that person's background, whether they have mental
- 24 health issues that may have led to some of this alleged
- 25 activity, various things that are offered to the judge so the

- A. RENDEIRO DIRECT MS. OKEN 171
- 1 judge can determine what an appropriate sentence is.
- 2 Q I'll direct your attention to lines five and six. Did
- 3 | the Court ask you whether you had a full and fair opportunity
- 4 to review that report with Mr. Bantis?
- 5 A He did.
- 6 Q Did you respond?
- 7 A I did.
- 8 Q Can you tell us what you said?
- 9 A I said: Yes, your Honor, I have received it. I mailed
- 10 him a copy. I also sat with him read it and discussed and
- 11 reviewed with him.
- 12 Q Having represented that you mailed him a copy, did you in
- 13 fact mail him a copy?
- 14 A You're asking me if I remember mailing him a copy? No.
- But I said I mailed him a copy, so I must have mailed him a
- 16 copy.
- 17 Q Would you have told the Court --
- 18 A Lie to him? No.
- 19 O I assume not.
- 20 A No.
- 21 Q Having represented that you read it and discussed it and
- 22 reviewed it with him, did you in fact read it and discuss and
- 23 review it with him?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q I'll direct your attention to page six of Government

- 1 Exhibit 24. Do you see where Ms. Mace makes a statement on
- 2 this page?
- 3 A Mine starts on line 19.
- 4 O Yes.
- 5 A I see, Ms. Mace is speaking.
- 6 Q Can you read what she says beginning at line 19?
- 7 A Sure. Ms. Mace speaking says: My request is that an
- 8 additional condition be that the defendant, upon release, have
- 9 | no contact with the victim or any member of the victim's
- 10 family, including the victim's brother, the victim's wife, the
- 11 victim's brother-in-law, any member of the victim's family,
- 12 the defendant should have no contact at all with.
- 13 The Court asks: Are there any objections.
- 14 Q Next page, I believe is page seven of the document, did
- 15 you respond?
- 16 A I did.
- 17 Q Can you read your response lines one through three?
- 18 A I said: No objection. As long as the others are known
- 19 to the defendant. Obviously no contact with this witness or
- 20 his family or the other witnesses and their family.
- 21 Q When you say "this witness," were you referring to the
- 22 | witness in the courtroom George Harrison?
- 23 A I suspect I was, but I don't remember if I was referring
- 24 to him. I assume he was still there. I only saw half of one
- 25 page and quarter of another.

- 1 Q We'll jump down to line nine and ten. Can you read what
- 2 you said there?
- 3 A My client understands that he will not have contact with
- 4 them.
- 5 Q Did you take any steps before you made that
- 6 representation to the Court?
- 7 A I don't remember; but by reading it, I can guess for you
- 8 what I did.
- 9 Q I don't want you to guess. But I suppose my question is,
- 10 when you told the Court my client understands and he will not
- 11 have contact with them, was that an accurate representation to
- 12 | the Court?
- 13 A I believe all my representations to the Court are
- 14 accurate.
- THE COURT: Do you have a pattern or practice that
- 16 you follow in connection with sentencing?
- 17 THE WITNESS: Yes, it's called honesty. I stick to
- 18 that.
- 19 Q In your work as a criminal defense attorney, is it your
- 20 practice to review court filings with your client?
- 21 A Yes, whenever a client would like a document of any type
- 22 reviewed, I will review it with them.
- 23 Q You testified earlier about discovery that was produced
- 24 | in this case. We read on that discovery letter about
- 25 recordings, were those recordings ever mentioned in other

- 1 | court filings in the case?
- 2 A I've got to guess the answer is yes; but I don't remember
- 3 exactly if they were.
- 4 Q I certainly don't want you to guess, Mr. Rendeiro. Let's
- 5 see if we can refresh your recollection.
- 6 Let's show the witness only two documents, the first
- 7 | will be Government 20A. Let's go to page two of this
- 8 document. I'll direct your attention to the bottom of the
- 9 page, see if this refreshes your recollection?
- 10 A I see it.
- 11 Q Does that refresh your recollection about whether the
- 12 recordings were ever mentioned in court filings in the case?
- 13 A It does. And the answer is yes, the recordings were
- 14 mentioned in the Court filings in the case.
- 15 Q Let's go back to page one of this document, Government
- 16 Exhibit 20A. Do you see that same stamp on Government Exhibit
- 17 20A?
- 18 A T do.
- 19 Q Does that stamp indicate that this is a certified or true
- 20 copy?
- 21 A True copy certified by the clerk.
- 22 | Q Let's show the witness only what is marked as Government
- 23 Exhibit 23A. Does that have the same stamp?
- 24 A It does.
- MS. OKEN: Your Honor, at this time we move to admit

- 1 | automobile and a bat, I assume a baseball bat near a door.
- 2 But it doesn't -- it just shows what this other person is
- 3 saying, it doesn't show any other evidence of this thing
- 4 happening.
- 5 MS. OKEN: Your Honor, in case I neglected to ask
- 6 earlier, may I publish this for the jury?
- 7 THE COURT: You may.
- MS. OKEN: Thank you, your Honor.
- 9 BY MS. OKEN:
- 10 Q Do you see the following paragraph that is entitled
- 11 | threats to John Doe 2?
- 12 A I see it.
- 13 Q Does this paragraph list, or discuss rather, the
- 14 recording that I asked you about earlier?
- 15 A It does.
- 16 Q Let's publish for the jury 23A. If you could read the
- 17 first sentence to yourself and then tell us what this document
- 18 is.
- 19 (Witness reviewing document.)
- 20 A This document, 23A, looks like the Government's
- 21 | sentencing memo to the judge.
- 22 Q Directing your attention to the bottom of this page, do
- 23 you see the paragraph entitled: The charged extortion?
- 24 A I do.
- 25 Q Taking a look over that paragraph, does that describe the

A. RENDEIRO - CROSS - MS. SHERMAN 177

- 1 | conduct relating to loan shark victim listed as John Doe 1?
- 2 A It looks like roughly the same words as the document we
- 3 just read.
- 4 Q Looking now at page two of this document, do you again
- 5 | see a paragraph threats to John Doe 2?
- 6 A I see it.
- 7 Q Does it contain similar content about the recordings we
- 8 saw earlier?
- 9 A It's just repeating the same stuff again and again.
- 10 Q Do you still practice criminal defense work today?
- 11 A I do.
- MS. OKEN: One moment, your Honor. Thank you, your
- 13 Honor, and Mr. Rendeiro, no further questions.
- 14 THE COURT: Any cross?
- MS. SHERMAN: Yes, very briefly.
- 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 17 BY MS. SHERMAN:
- 18 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Rendeiro.
- 19 A Nice to see you, Ms. Sherman.
- 20 Q One question for you. I want to pull up Government
- 21 Exhibit 24, which was just placed into evidence. Turning to
- 22 page 24 of that document. On direct the Government had you
- 23 | read certain lines from the sentencing transcript, correct?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q I'm going to ask that you read lines one through ten from

Case 1	21-cr-00483-ENV Document 123 Filed 12/02/22 Page 89 of 252 PageID #: 1351
	A. RENDEIRO - CROSS - MS. SHERMAN 178
1	that document, please?
2	A I'm speaking: No objection as long as the others are
3	known to the defendant. Obviously no contact with this
4	witness or his family or the other witnesses and their family.
5	Judge asks: Probation ordinarily will provide the
6	list.
7	Probation Officer said: Yes.
8	Court then said: With the assistance of the
9	Government.
10	The Government lawyer Ms. Mace said: Yes.
11	Then I said: My client understands and will not
12	have contact with them.
13	Q Thank you and your understanding of the probation
14	providing the list was in reference to the people he was not
15	supposed to have contact with, correct?
16	A Yes. My understanding is that it had to be listed
17	specifically by probation or he would not have any way of
18	knowing who not to be in contact with.
19	MS. SHERMAN: Thank you. No further no questions.
20	THE COURT: Ms. Oken, no redirect I assume?
21	MS. OKEN: No redirect.
22	THE WITNESS: Am I done, judge?
23	THE COURT: I think so. Thank you, Mr. Rendeiro.
24	I'll see you on the other table. You're excused.
25	(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

PROCEEDINGS 179 1 THE COURT: Ms. Oken, do you a have a plan for us? 2 It's shortly after 1:00 p.m. now, we MS. OKEN: 3 defer to the Court as to whether it's an appropriate time for a lunch break. THE COURT: Normally we would, unless you're going 5 6 to promise me a five-minute witness, then maybe I'm tempted. 7 MS. OKEN: It will be brief, but I can't promise 8 five minutes. 9 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we're at a lunch 10 break, that's the good news. The bad news is nobody is buying 11 you lunch. There is a cafeteria of recent vintage, I can't 12 comment on it, here on the third floor of the courthouse. Or 13 you're free to visit any of the local establishments that are 14 scattered about. Those are the things that you can do. 15 There are other instructions that I told you from 16 the first day, those instructions are very important which is 17 why we continue to repeated them. Some of them you heard 18 already today on a couple of occasions, which is not to discuss the case amongst yourselves or with anyone else. And 19 20 to continue to keep an open mind. 21 Because you're going out into the big world, we also 22 ask you to keep in mind that there is to be no communication 23 of any kind. We're on radio silence. No communication of any 24 kind by social media or whatever to the world to say that

you're coming here, that you are coming to the courthouse and

1 that you're a juror, anything about the case, anything about 2 the personalities of those who appeared before you, and to the 3 extent that there may be something in the media which includes 4 social media about the case, I direct you to totally disregard 5 any of that commentary. And urge you to tune out commentary 6 about any kind of case for fear that it might confuse you 7 about what your responsibilities are in this case. 8 We will excuse you. We ask you to come back to the 9 jury room around two, 2:15. We'll get started soon thereafter 10 as we can. 11 We appreciate your service, your sacrifice, and look 12 forward to you all enjoying a good lunch. We'll see you 13 afterwards. 14 (Jury exits the courtroom.) 15 THE COURT: We will take our lunch break. Our 16 general rules from pre-COVID remain the same. You're free, 17 counsel, to leave anything here. William will be locking up 18 the courtroom. The corollary to that is, if there is 19 something you might need during the lunch break then please 20 take it with you; you won't be able to get back in. 21 Is there anything we need to attend to, 22 housekeeping-wise, before we break? 23 MS. OKEN: Not at this time, your Honor. 24 MS. HIROZAWA: No, your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Everybody enjoy your lunch. William

Case 1:21-cr-00483-ENV Document 123 Filed 12/02/22 Page 92 of 252 PageID #: 1354 PROCEEDINGS will lock up. We'll see you around 2:15 or so. (Lunch recess.) 

## PROCEEDINGS 182 1 AFTERNOON SESSION 2 (Time noted: 2:15 p.m.) 3 (In open court; Jury not present.) THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise. THE COURT REPORTER: Court is now back in session. 5 6 Counsel for both sides present, including the defendant. 7 THE COURT: Are we ready to go? 8 MS. OKEN: Yes, Your Honor. 9 MS. SHERMAN: Sorry. Judge, sorry, just briefly to 10 address one of the issues we discussed this morning in terms 11 of impeachment. 12 We understand Your Honor's ruling about the 13 transcripts. I do think we have a couple of discrepancies 14 which we were not able to necessarily get transcribed over the 15 lunch break. But I also just want to bring the Court's attention 16 to a case which indicates that extrinsic evidence of an 17 18 inconsistent statement is permitted. And this is quoting from 19 a case at the bottom, there's a Second Circuit quote here. 20 The government is correct that the *United States* versus Strother, S-T-R-O-T-H-E-R, which otherwise appears to 21 2.2 address the issue within this circuit contains factual 23 distinctions to the case at hand, however, the Second Circuit 24 also makes clear that extrinsic evidence of a prior 25 inconsistent statement is more persuasive to a jury than a

witness' acknowledgment of inconsistencies in a prior statement. With internal citations.

And so I think that if we -- if it comes time to impeach the witness, I ask if the prior statement was made. She agrees the prior statement was made, that's it, we're done. We understand that.

But if she disagrees, we believe the Second Circuit has held that extrinsic evidence of that statement is permitted. And I do think it is a different situation when there's body camera footage, which has not always been available to the courts, and is actual evidence of her making the statement that the jury would be able to hear.

And again, it's not hearsay, it's not coming in for the truth, it's coming in simply as a prior inconsistent statement. So we would renew our request if there is an inconsistency that she denies that we be able to play the body camera footage.

THE COURT: I renew my ruling. We're not playing the body camera language.

Are we ready?

MS. OKEN: Yes, Your Honor.

And for the Court's awareness after we bring the jury in, I think the first thing the government intends to do prior to calling the first witness is to read a stipulation into the record.

25

(718)775-8471.

PROCEEDINGS

Government Exhibit 302 is a true and accurate audio recording of the 9-1-1 call received by the New York City
Police Department on September 9th, 2021, at approximately
11:06 a.m., Eastern Standard Time, from phone number
(718)775-8471.

Government Exhibit 303 is a true and accurate audio recording of the 9-1-1 call received by the New York City
Police Department on September 9th, 2021, at approximately
11:10 a.m., Eastern Standard Time, from the phone number
(347)206-5746.

Government Exhibits 300 through 303 were collected from data retrieved from the computer archive system of the New York Police Department, were created by a person with

from data retrieved from the computer archive system of the New York Police Department, were created by a person with knowledge of or created from information transmitted by a person with knowledge of the information shown, were created at or near the time the information became available to the New York City Police Department, and were created and maintained by the New York City Police Department as part of its regularly conducted business activities.

The transcripts of Government's Exhibits 300 through 303, which are marked Government Exhibit 300T through 303T, are true and accurate transcripts of those recordings.

This stipulation which has been marked as Government Exhibit 1010 is admissible in evidence at trial.

And, Your Honor, I would now move Government

- 22 A Would you like me to point to him?
- 23 Q Sure.
- 24 A (Witness complying.)
- Q And can you identify an article of clothing he's wearing?

And what type of things do you sell there?

They should flash on your screen in front of you one

24

25

at a time.

25

Yes.

- J. HARRISON DIRECT MS. McGRATH 201
- 1 A For a very brief period of time my nephew Anthony did.
- 2 We tried to give him a job at that point.
- 3 Q In that same time period were shifts divided?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q How so?
- 6 A So my husband -- well, fiancé and I, we worked Tuesdays
- 7 from 11 to 7.
- 8 My nephew Andrew worked Wednesday through Sunday
- 9 from 11 to 7. And then we would put him on with either Cliff,
- 10 Blake or at the time Anthony.
- 11 | Q Would anyone come in on Monday?
- 12 A Sometimes I would come in on Monday to pack packages that
- 13 I would have orders for.
- 14 Q How would you start an average workday?
- 15 A So an average workday for me I would open up the gate,
- 16 | walk inside, bring the broom and dust pan and go outside and
- 17 | sweep. After I was done sweeping, I would bring the garbage
- 18 inside and start my workday.
- 19 Q Approximately what time would you arrive?
- 20 A Any time between 10:20 and 10:40 in the morning.
- 21 Q You referenced "sweeping." Why would you sweep?
- 22 A I would sweep all the time because I couldn't afford to
- 23 get another ticket.
- 24 Q And why would not sweeping result in a ticket?
- 25 A Sanitation would come around every day to check the

- J. HARRISON DIRECT MS. McGRATH 202
- 1 | sidewalks to make sure there wasn't any litter in front of the
- 2 businesses.
- 3 Q Where would you sweep?
- 4 A I would sweep directly in front of my store.
- 5 Q In the summer and fall of 2021, did E&J Boutique have
- 6 external security cameras?
- 7 A No, we did not.
- 8 Q You testified earlier that you were familiar with
- 9 defendant Chris Bantis.
- 10 Approximately when did you first put a face to the
- 11 | name Chris Bantis?
- 12 A After he got into an argument with my brother.
- 13 Q And where was the store located when that happened?
- 14 A 6906 Fort Hamilton Parkway, Brooklyn, New York 11228.
- 15 Q Before you put a face to the name, had you heard the name
- 16 Chris Bantis before?
- 17 A Yes, I had.
- 18 Q Approximately how old were you when you first heard the
- 19 name?
- 20 A I was just a kid when I first started hearing about him.
- 21 Q At that time, how much, if anything, did you know about
- 22 Chris Bantis' history with your family members?
- 23 A I didn't know enough. I just knew he was dangerous
- 24 because my brother would always tell me. He was always
- 25 dangerous. My brother would tell me.

## Case 1:21-cr-00483-ENV Document 123 Filed 12/02/22 Page 114 of 252 PageID #: 1376 J. HARRISON - DIRECT - MS. McGRATH 203 1 THE COURT: That's what you knew? 2 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 3 THE COURT: Not of your own knowledge. 4 THE WITNESS: No, I knew my brother had -- well, I 5 don't know if I'm allowed to speak but --6 THE COURT: Just the answer was, no, not of your own 7 knowledge. 8 THE WITNESS: No, not of my own knowledge. 9 BY MS. McGRATH: 10 Before you put a face to the name Chris Bantis, had you 11 seen him before? 12 Yes, I have. 13 Have you seen him on more than one occasion? 14 Yes, I have. 15 Were any of those occasions when you were at E&J 16 Boutique? 17 Yes, they were. For the first time you saw Chris Bantis when you were at 18 19 E&J Boutique, where was the store located? 20 7001 Fort Hamilton, Parkway, Brooklyn, New York 11228. 21 Approximately how many times did you see the defendant 22 when you were at that old location? 23 I seen him many times. 24 Do you know the exact day and month of those occasions? 25 I do not.

- J. HARRISON DIRECT MS. McGRATH 204
- 1 Q Do you have a recollection of the general chronology of
- 2 those occasions?
- 3 A I do not.
- 4 Q Do you recall the first time you saw Chris Bantis when
- 5 you were at the old E&J Boutique location?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q What, if anything, did you observe him do?
- 8 A The first time I seen him there, he came into my store
- 9 and actually purchased something.
- 10 Q What did he purchase?
- 11 A A pinkie ring.
- 12 Q When was the next time you saw Mr. Bantis at the old E&J
- 13 location?
- 14 A I saw him -- I saw him peeking in my windows, my front
- 15 windows.
- 16 Q And how long was that in relation to the purchase of the
- 17 pinkie ring?
- 18 A That was a couple of weeks later.
- 19 O Did he enter the store at that time?
- 20 A He did not.
- 21 Q Did he say anything to you?
- 22 A He did not.
- 23 Q When was the next time you saw Chris Bantis at the old
- 24 E&J Boutique location?
- 25 A Again, I seen him standing at the windows.

## Case 1:21-cr-00483-ENV Document 123 Filed 12/02/22 Page 117 of 252 PageID #: 1379 J. HARRISON - DIRECT - MS. McGRATH THE COURT: I totally lost the question. Why don't we have a sidebar. (Continued on the next page.) (Sidebar conference.)

1 (The following occurred at sidebar.) 2 THE COURT: Okay, the government has an exception. 3 MS. McGRATH: These are her present sense 4 impressions. She's on the telephone and she is speaking to 5 her brother. 6 THE COURT: But she's communicating to her brother? 7 MS. McGRATH: She is, Your Honor. THE COURT: So I don't see that. If she can tell us 8 9 here, you have to tell me why the communication to her brother 10 is significant. And then maybe you can tell me. MS. McGRATH: We will elicit after that what his 11 12 reaction was and whether he explained that to her. 13 MS. OKEN: And, Your Honor, additionally in order to 14 connect to what the brother knew, so proof of what the 15 brother's knowledge was. So her testimony here today would be 16 for the truth. So she would convey to the jury directly 17 that's what it would be through and then the repeating 18 statement to the brother, which is before the brother's 19 knowledge as opposed to the inherent truth of that statement. 20 THE COURT: So you're saying that you want her --21 this is being offered to show that George knew, had this --22 MS. OKEN: Exactly. 23 THE COURT: And then the fact that George had that 24 knowledge is relevant to what? 25 MS. OKEN: Related to law enforcement as George

- J. HARRISON DIRECT MS. McGRATH 209
- 1 DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)
- 2 BY MS. McGRATH:
- 3 Q Ms. Harrison, the question was, what, if anything, did
- 4 | you relay to your brother George about what you observed?
- 5 A I told him that there was an old guy staring at me from
- 6 across the street.
- 7 Q Without going into anything that was said, what was
- 8 George's reaction?
- 9 A He said, "What does he look like"?
- 10 Q Sorry, without --
- 11 A He was surprised and he sounded like he was upset.
- 12 Q And again without going into anything that was said, at
- 13 that time did George explain his reaction to you?
- 14 A He did not.
- 15 Q Do you know if George did anything with that information?
- 16 A He never told me.
- 17 Q When was the next time you saw Chris Bantis at the old
- 18 E&J Boutique location?
- 19 A It was years later that I seen him.
- 20 Q Do you remember approximately when?
- 21 A Right before I was moved into my current location.
- 22 Q What, if anything, did you observe the defendant do?
- 23 A He stopped in front of my door of the store and started
- 24 laughing hysterically.
- Q Who were you with at the time?

23

24

25

after the store moved?

I started seeing him more.

And describe what you mean.

- J. HARRISON DIRECT MS. McGRATH 211
- 1 A So I started seeing Chris more and more every day. I
- 2 | would see him looking in my store windows. I would see him at
- 3 Jimmy's standing outside. I didn't really think anything of
- 4 it, I actually just thought he was a neighborhood guy.
- 5 Q And approximately when did that begin happening?
- 6 A As soon as I moved.
- 7 Q And what happened next?
- 8 A So after I moved and he kept staring in my windows, I
- 9 didn't put two and two together, but I started hearing someone
- 10 screaming things at me from a car and from a distance.
- 11 Q Did you see where -- who the person screaming?
- 12 A The last time it happened I did.
- 13 Q Okay. So the first time it happened, did you see the
- 14 person screaming?
- 15 A I did not see them.
- 16 Q What did the voice sound like?
- 17 A It was a very raspy male voice.
- 18 Q And you testified that the last time you heard the
- 19 person, what did that person look like?
- 20 A It looked like Chris Bantis.
- 21 Q And you testified that you saw the person screaming from
- 22 | a distance and from a white car. Approximately what time of
- 23 | the day would you hear those screams?
- 24 A Early in the morning when I was sweeping.
- 25 Q And what did you hear the person screaming specifically?

- J. HARRISON DIRECT MS. McGRATH 212
- 1 A They would say, "The Harrisons are rats. George is a
- 2 rat. I want you dead. E&J is rats."
- 3 Q At that time how did it make you feel?
- 4 A At first I didn't really think much of it. But when it
- 5 happened a second and third time, I started getting really
- 6 nervous and concerned.
- 7 Q And at that time did you recognize the person screaming
- 8 as the person you had had these earlier encounters with at the
- 9 | old E&J location?
- 10 A I didn't put two and two together.
- 11 Q Directing your attention to August 31st, 2021, did you
- 12 | call 9-1-1 that day?
- 13 A Yes, I did.
- 14 Q At a high level, why did you call 9-1-1?
- 15 A I called 9-1-1 because that was the day a fight broke out
- 16 between Chris and my brother.
- 17 Q Where did the fight break out?
- 18 A 6906 Fort Hamilton Parkway, Brooklyn, New York 11208.
- 19 It's my current location of the store outside.
- 20 Q So breaking it down piece by piece, what did you first
- 21 observe?
- 22 A So I was coming to work and I got out of the truck that
- 23 | we pulled up in, Eric and I were together, and my brother
- James and nephew Anthony for some reason were in a black car
- 25 and they pulled behind me.

J. HARRISON - DIRECT - MS. McGRATH 213

When I got out of car to go open the gate, I heard a guy screaming and my brother was behind me. My brother started screaming at this guy from across the street. And I kept asking my brother, I said, "Who is that? What are you screaming at?" And I just hear them both cursing each other out non-stop. And I kept asking my brother "Who is that, who

7 | is that?"

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

He finally told me, he goes, "That's Chris Bantis."

And they kept on screaming at each other. And after a few seconds of that going on, they chased after each other down the block. And I stayed at the location.

- Q After your brother chased the defendant away what, if anything, did you observe next?
- A Well, I contacted -- at the time I think I contacted my
  brother George and got some information on who to contact, but
  it happened again after that. He came back.
- 17 Q And why did you contact George?
- A Because I was very nervous. As soon as I heard that name, I remember that's the name my brother always spoke
- about.
- 21 Q So after the fight, what did you do?
- 22 A I dialed 9-1-1.
- 23 Q Did you contact anyone else?
- 24 A I contacted my brother and Paul.
- 25 O Who is Paul?

employees about the fight?

I told my nephew Andrew.

Why did you tell Andrew?

23

24

Directing your attention to September 8th, 2021, did you

24

25

call 9-1-1 that day?

- J. HARRISON DIRECT MS. McGRATH 216
- 1 A Yes, I did.
- 2 Q Why?
- 3 A I believe that was the day he came back when my nephew
- 4 Andrew and Anthony were both working at the store.
- 5 Q Were you present at the store on that day?
- 6 A I was not.
- 7 Q Without disclosing anything that was said, how did you
- 8 | learn -- how did you learn that?
- 9 A My nephew Andrew contacted me.
- 10 Q How did Andrew sound when he contacted you?
- 11 A He was a mess. He was screaming. He sounded like he was
- 12 crying and he was very nervous.
- 13 Q Who, if anyone, were you with when Andrew contacted you?
- 14 A I was with Eric.
- 15 Q So after learning this, what did you do next?
- 16 A I went directly back to the store.
- 17 Q Did you arrive at the store?
- 18 A Yes, I did.
- 19 Q Who was at the store when you arrived?
- 20 A When I arrived, my nephew Andrew was, my nephew Anthony
- 21 was, and I believe my employee Blake -- I am sorry, not Blake,
- 22 Cliff was.
- 23 Q How did Andrew appear?
- 24 A Andrew was in tears, he was crying. He was -- he was a
- 25 mess. He was a total mess.

I didn't want to put Andrew's life in danger.

And why did you work that day?

24

J. HARRISON - DIRECT - MS. McGRATH 219

- 1 DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)
- 2 BY MS. McGRATH:
- 3 Q Ms. Harrison, having reviewed that, does that refresh
- 4 | your recollection about what happened on September 9th?
- 5 A Yes, it does.
- 6 Q So, you testified that you were sweeping.
- 7 What, if anything, did you observe?
- 8 A So, on September 9th when I went outside to sweep, that
- 9 was the day that Chris was standing outside Jimmy 's Grill &
- 10 Deli. That was the day that he pointed his finger at his head
- and he was screaming things to me and made a gesture that he
- 12 was gonna shoot me.
- 13 Q And can you describe the gesture you're making with your
- 14 hand?
- 15 A So, he made the shape of a gun, held it to his temple and
- 16 nodded his head like that, after he was screaming at me.
- 17 Q What was he screaming at you?
- 18 A The Harrisons are rats. That was his favorite thing to
- 19 always say, and I want you dead, you're fucking dead. That's
- 20 what he would always yell.
- 21 Q What did you do next?
- 22 A I went inside. I told Eric. I locked the door. And I
- 23 | called 9-1-1. I texted Paul immediately to let him know. I
- 24 | took pictures of him. God forbid I was gonna die that day, I
- 25 wanted proof that he was standing there. And 9-1-1 came.

SAM OCR RMR CRR RPR

What were you referring to?

J. HARRISON - DIRECT - MS. McGRATH 221

- 1 A Well, before he did this to his temples, he also kind of
- 2 like grabbed on his waist, made me believe he had a gun.
- 3 Q Did you see a gun?
- 4 A I did not see a gun.
- 5 Q And pausing briefly there, during any of the encounters
- 6 you've described did you actually see a gun?
- 7 A I did not see a gun.
- 8 Q And during the call you -- did you state that Chris
- 9 Bantis had tried to shoot you?
- 10 A Well, I believed he was gonna shoot me.
- 11 Q And during the call did you state that Chris Bantis had
- 12 pulled out a pipe on you the day before?
- 13 A Yeah, but I meant my nephew, though. He didn't pull a
- 14 pipe on me, I was not there.
- 15 Q During the 9-1-1 call, why did you describe some of Chris
- 16 | Bantis's history with your brother?
- 17 A Because it's important, and I figured if I told them
- 18 that, they would get there faster and I wouldn't get shot.
- 19 Q During the call were you speaking to anyone else apart
- 20 from the 9-1-1 operator?
- 21 A I was speaking with Eric in the background.
- 22 | Q What, if anything, did you observe Eric doing?
- 23 A He was looking by the door to make sure he wasn't coming
- 24 to the store.
- MS. McGRATH: If we could just show the witness

```
Case 1:21-cr-00483-ENV Document 123 Filed 12/02/22 Page 133 of 252 PageID #: 1395
                     J. HARRISON - DIRECT - MS. McGRATH
                                                                222
  1
      what's marked as Government Exhibit 129 and then 130.
  2
                 (Pause.)
  3
      BY MS. McGRATH:
           Do you recognize these?
  5
      Α
           Yes, I do.
  6
           What are they?
  7
           Those are the photos I sent to Paul.
  8
           Are they true and accurate depictions of the photos you
  9
      took that day?
 10
           Yes, they are.
 11
                 MS. McGRATH: Your Honor, the Government would move
 12
      these in.
 13
                 THE COURT: Any objection?
 14
                 MS. SHERMAN: No, no objection.
 15
                 THE COURT: Received without objection.
 16
                 (Government Exhibit 129 and 130, were received in
 17
      evidence.)
 18
                 MS. McGRATH: And if we could publish Government
 19
      Exhibit 129 first?
 20
                 THE COURT: You may.
 21
                 (Exhibit published.)
 22
                 MS. McGRATH: And 130.
 23
                 (Exhibit published.)
 24
           Where were you when you took these photos?
 25
            I was inside my store.
```

- 1 Q What do they show?
- 2 A They show Jimmy's Deli & Grill.
- 3 Q And who do they depict?
- 4 A Chris Bantis.
- 5 Q What does he appear to be doing in those photos?
- 6 A Standing outside Jimmy's Deli.
- 7 Q Was this before, did you take these photos before or
- 8 after he made the gesture as you described?
- 9 A After.
- 10 Q Why did you take these photos?
- 11 A Because I thought I was gonna get shot and I wanted proof
- 12 | that it was him.
- MS. McGRATH: And, Your Honor, if we could now show
- 14 just the witness -- I'm sorry, Ms. Moosher -- Government
- 15 Exhibit 550-A.
- 16 (Pause.)
- 17 BY MS. McGRATH:
- 18 Q Do you recognize these?
- 19 A Yes, I do.
- 20 Q What are they?
- 21 A Those are my text messages to Paul.
- 22 Q Are they true and accurate?
- 23 A Yes, they are.
- MS. McGRATH: Your Honor, the Government would move
- 25 these in as excited utterances under Rule 803(2).

SAM OCR RMR CRR RPR

Sidebar 225 1 (The following sidebar took place outside the 2 hearing of the jury.) 3 MS. McGRATH: Your Honor, the text messages are from 4 two minutes after her 9-1-1 call where she messages the agent: 5 Help me, please, he is here. Paul, he is here. 6 I'm happy to ask her further questions about how she 7 was feeling at the time, but she has stated that she, you 8 know, was fearful that she was about to die. 9 THE COURT: So, this is all in the same moment? 10 MS. McGRATH: Yes, Your Honor. 11 MS. SHERMAN: Judge, the fact remains she's texting 12 the agent involved in the investigation of her case. And this 13 is testimonial. It's different than a 9-1-1 call. THE COURT: It's close. It's close to a 14 15 testimonial. MS. OKEN: Your Honor, she's summoning assistance 16 17 from law enforcement because she believes she's under imminent 18 threat of death. 19 MS. HIROZAWA: And, Your Honor, if I may just add 20 some context. 21 The earlier text messages prior to this series of 22 text messages include Ms. Harrison inquiring when Mr. Bantis 23 will be arrested. And Agent Tambrino, I believe prior to this 24 text message, is saying --25 THE COURT: In that same?

Sidebar 226 1 MS. HIROZAWA: In the same chat. 2 THE COURT: In the same chat? 3 MS. McGRATH: No. 4 Your Honor, I think the messages to which she's 5 referring are from a few hours earlier. So, the timeline of 6 this goes: She calls 9-1-1 at 11:06. Speaks to 9-1-1 for 7 approximately two --8 THE COURT: Okay, you have the times? 9 MS. McGRATH: Yes. THE COURT: What is the time on the 9-1-1 call? 10 11 MS. McGRATH: 11: 06. 12 THE COURT: And what is the time on this, the first? 13 MS. McGRATH: 11:08. So, two minutes later. It is before the police respond to this event. 14 15 THE COURT: And how long does it go, 11:08 to what? 16 MS. McGRATH: 11: 09. Two from 11:08, one to 11:09. 17 MS. HIROZAWA: And, Your Honor, for reference, Agent 18 Tambrino texts her back: We are going to court this 19 afternoon, before this text message is made in response to her 20 inquiry: Has he been arrested yet? 21 So, I think it is clearly testimonial, at least with 22 regards to her communications to Agent Tambrino. 23 MS. McGRATH: Your Honor, to be clear, earlier that 24 day she asked: Is it safe for me to be by the store? Has he 25 been arrested yet?

Sidebar 227 1 That is an inquiry in connection with her own 2 personal safety. 3 THE COURT: And what was the response? 4 MS. McGRATH: He has not yet been arrested. 5 THE COURT: Right. And then there's a gap. 6 MS. McGRATH: And then there is a gap. 7 THE COURT: Then there is the incident. MS. McGRATH: Then there is the incident. 8 9 THE COURT: She calls 9-1-1. 10 MS. McGRATH: And seconds after getting off the 11 phone with 9-1-1, she texts him. 12 THE COURT: Temporally it is all the same. There's 13 nothing else, aside from the temporality and the excited 14 utterance, is there anything else in the text, itself, that 15 might be a basis for exclusion? 16 MS. SHERMAN: In three text messages that they put 17 on --18 THE COURT: The ones here. 19 MS. SHERMAN: -- no. I mean I think if they're 20 going to be putting -- I think we're going to get into an 21 issue later about them putting -- selectively picking text 22 messages with Agent Tambrino. 23 I think the text messages she sent him that morning 24 are relevant, but those three text messages alone, no, there's 25 no other basis.

Case 1:21-cr-00483-ENV Document 123 Filed 12/02/22 Page 139 of 252 PageID #: 1401 Sidebar THE COURT: Okay, then I'll allow it as an excited utterance. MS. OKEN: Thank you, Your Honor. (Sidebar concluded.) (Continued on the following page.) 

SAM OCR RMR CRR RPR

## Case 1:21-cr-00483-ENV Document 123 Filed 12/02/22 Page 140 of 252 PageID #: 1402 J. HARRISON - DIRECT - MS. McGRATH 229 1 (In open court - jury present.) 2 MS. McGRATH: Your Honor, permission to publish 550-A? 3 4 THE COURT: You may. 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued) 6 (Exhibit published.) 7 BY MS. McGRATH: 8 Ms. Harrison, can you just note the time stamps and the 9 date on these text messages? 10 They were from September 9th at 11:08 and 11:09. 11 And just one by one, can you please read them aloud? 12 These messages were to Paul and it says: 13 Please, he is here. Help me. Paul, he is here. 14 Who are they from? 15 Α They're from myself. 16 Why did you send these? 17 Because I was fearing for my life. Α 18 Had the police arrived yet? 19 Not at that time. Α 20 Did the police ultimately arrive? 21 Α Yes, they did. 22 What, if anything, did you do when the police arrived? 23 Α I met with the police. 24 Where did you speak to the police?

I spoke to the police outside my store.

- 1 Q Anywhere else?
- 2 A Outside Jimmy's Deli.
- 3 Q What, if anything, was your reaction to going by Jimmy's
- 4 Deli?
- 5 A I didn't want to do it.
- 6 Q Why not?
- 7 A I was afraid he was gonna see me.
- 8 Q Who?
- 9 A Chris Bantis.
- 10 Q What happened next?
- 11 A I spoke with the police, and he kept staring at me as I
- 12 was speaking to the police.
- MS. SHERMAN: Objection as to what Mr. Bantis was
- doing, as to what she believed Mr. Bantis was doing.
- THE COURT: What did you observe?
- 16 THE WITNESS: He was staring at me.
- 17 THE COURT: I'll allow that answer.
- 18 BY MS. McGRATH:
- 19 Q And after that, what happened next?
- 20 A I spoke with the police and he was arrested.
- 21 Q Have you seen Chris Bantis, other than today have you
- 22 | seen Chris Bantis since that day?
- 23 A I have not.
- 24 Q Have you heard from Chris Bantis since that day?
- 25 A I have not.

(Pause.)

MS. McGRATH: Your Honor, may I have a brief moment?

THE COURT: Yes.

23

24

J. HARRISON - DIRECT - MS. McGRATH 232

- 1 Q Ms. Harrison, you testified earlier that when your store
- 2 moved to the new location, you would hear Chris Bantis
- 3 screaming at you?
- 4 A Yes, I did.
- 5 Q Can you describe some of the things you heard him scream
- 6 at you?
- 7 A He would always scream: The Harrisons are rats. George
- 8 is a rat. I want you dead. I want your brother dead. George
- 9 is dead. E&J is rats.
- 10 It would just keep going on and on.
- 11 Q And you testified about a fight between James and Chris
- 12 in front of the new location when they were screaming at each
- 13 other?
- 14 A Yes, I did.
- 15 Q Do you recall any of the things that Chris Bantis said at
- 16 that point?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q What did he say?
- 19 A He kept calling my brother a rat and telling him that he
- 20 was gonna kill him. And my brother kept screaming: You shut
- 21 the fuck up. Shut the fuck up.
- You know, it just kept going.
- MS. McGRATH: Thank you, Your Honor.
- No further questions.
- THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. McGrath.

## Case 1:21-cr-00483-ENV Document 123 Filed 12/02/22 Page 144 of 252 PageID #: 1406 J. HARRISON - CROSS - MS. SHERMAN 233 1 Who is handling this one? 2 MS. SHERMAN: That would be Marissa Sherman, Judge. 3 THE COURT: Ms. Sherman. 4 MS. SHERMAN: One moment, please. 5 THE COURT: Certainly. 6 (Pause.) 7 MS. McGRATH: Your Honor, may I approach the witness 8 just to grab the papers I had dropped off with her? THE COURT: Yes, please. 9 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION 11 BY MS. SHERMAN: 12 Good afternoon, Ms. Harrison. 13 Good afternoon. 14 Ms. Harrison, we've never met before, correct? 15 Correct. 16 And you've never met my colleague, Nora Hirozawa; 17 correct? 18 No, I have, correct. 19 So we've never discussed the facts of this case, right? 20 Α Right. 21 But you have met with members of the Government several

20 A Right.

21 Q But you have met with members of the Government several times, correct?

22 times, correct.

23 A Correct.

24 Q And that was with Ms. Oken and Ms. McGrath, correct?

25 A Correct.

- 1 Q And you also met with Special Agent Tambrino, who you've
- 2 been referring to Paul as several times, correct?
- 3 A Correct.
- 4 Q And they went through the types of questions that they'd
- 5 be asking you here today, correct?
- 6 A I'm sorry, can you repeat that?
- 7 Q Sure. They went through with you the types of questions
- 8 they would be asking you here today, correct?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 Q And the types of questions that we may be asking you,
- 11 correct?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q And you reviewed your Grand Jury testimony before coming
- 14 here today?
- 15 A That, I did not.
- 16 Q You reviewed video surveillance during the course of this
- 17 investigation?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And you reviewed your communications with Special Agent
- 20 Tambrino, correct?
- 21 A Correct.
- 22 Q Ms. Harrison, I want to talk a little bit about the area
- 23 that E&J Boutique is located on and was located on in, I
- quess, summer of 2021.
- You indicated it's on Fort Hamilton Parkway between

J. HARRISON - CROSS - MS. SHERMAN 235 1 70th Street and Bay Ridge Avenue, correct? 2 The current location is. 3 Q Yes. 4 Correct. 5 And all of the questions I'm about to ask are about the current location. 6 7 Okay. 8 And that block of Fort Hamilton Parkway, it has several 9 shops on both sides of the street, correct? 10 Correct. 11 And traffic runs both ways, right? 12 Correct. 13 And there is parking on both sides of the street? 14 That's correct . 15 I'd like you to --16 MS. SHERMAN: Just the witness. 17 -- take a look at what has previously been marked as 18 Defense Exhibit AA-2. 19 (Pause.) 20 Do you recognize what you're looking at in this photo? 21 Yes, I do.

22 What do you recognize it to be?

23 That's the corner of Bay Ridge Avenue and Fort Hamilton

24 Parkway.

25 Okay. And is that the -- is that a fair and accurate

And can you with the -- with your finger just mark E&J

24

25

Boutique on the other side?

- 1 A (So marked.)
- 2 Q Thank you.
- 3 So, Jimmy's 3 Sons Deli is located on the corner,
- 4 | correct, of Bay Ridge Avenue and Fort Hamilton Parkway?
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q And E&J Boutique is across the street and a bit diagonal,
- 7 correct?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 MS. SHERMAN: Now, I am going to show what has been
- 10 previously marked as Defense Exhibit AA-3, just for the
- 11 witness.
- 12 BY MS. SHERMAN:
- 13 Q While we're trying to figure out how to get rid of the
- mark, Ms. Harrison, can you tell us if you recognize what's
- 15 in that photo?
- 16 A I see my store in that photo.
- 17 Q Okay. And is that a fair and accurate representation of
- 18 your store's new location, what it looked like?
- 19 A That's what it looks like now, yes.
- 20 Q Is there anything substantially different?
- 21 A There was never a store next to me.
- 22 Q Okay.
- 23 A That cell phone store is new.
- Q Okay. So other than the cell phone store, is it
- 25 substantially the same?

So, you do recognize what's depicted in that photo?

24

25

the back of the picture?

Correct.

- 1 Q Okay. And what we're looking at, as you look down, is
- 2 Jimmy's 3 Sons on the corner, correct?
- 3 A Yes, that's the deli and grill.
- 4 Q Great, okay. Thank you.
- 5 MS. SHERMAN: We can take them down, Ms. Kissick.
- 6 BY MS. SHERMAN:
- 7 Q Now, you testified on direct about observing -- I am
- 8 | going to go back to 2018 briefly -- about observing Mr. Bantis
- 9 | across street from your store on several occasions, correct?
- 10 A In what year was this?
- 11 Q 2018.
- 12 A In 2018 that was at my previous location.
- 13 Q Yes.
- 14 A So I did observe him across the street from my previous
- 15 location, yes.
- 16 Q Correct. I believe you said it was a souvlaki shop?
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 Q Okay. And there is a bus stop right in front of that
- 19 souvlaki shop, correct?
- 20 A No, the bus stop is a few doors down.
- 21 Q Okay. On that side of the street, and again we're back
- 22 | in 2018, on that side of the street there was a bus stop on
- 23 that block on that side of the street, correct?
- 24 A Correct.
- 25 Q Now, I want to move now to summer of 2021.

- 1 A Okay.
- 2 Q I believe you testified that your new location opened in
- 3 May of 2021?
- 4 A Correct.
- 5 Q Okay. And it wasn't entirely clear on direct.
- The first time you started hearing someone yell at
- 7 | you from a car, was that right after you moved into your new
- 8 location?
- 9 A That was when I was moving into my new location.
- 10 Q So in May of 2021?
- 11 A In May of 2021 I moved to the new location. I started
- 12 moving in around March.
- 13 Q Okay. So I just want to be clear, this -- this kind of
- 14 pattern of someone yelling at you from a car, this began in
- 15 around March of 2021?
- 16 A It began around May. He wasn't yelling it when I was
- 17 moving across.
- 18 Q Got it. So, starting in May of 2021 --
- 19 A Correct.
- 20 Q -- is when this started?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Someone started yelling at you from a car?
- 23 A Correct.
- Q Okay. You've spoken with various members of law
- 25 enforcement about Mr. Bantis allegedly harassing you, correct?

- 1 A Correct.
- 2 Q And it's important to try and be as accurate with
- 3 information as you can so that law enforcement can do their
- 4 job, right?
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q And collect evidence, right?
- 7 A Correct.
- 8 Q And investigate?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 Q Okay. We heard on direct examination that you placed a
- 11 9-1-1 call on August 31st of 2021, correct?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q And isn't it true when you called 9-1-1 on August 31 st
- of 2021, you told the 9-1-1 operator that Mr. Bantis started
- 15 harassing you one week ago, correct?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And so, that would be one week before August 31st of
- 18 | 2021, right?
- 19 A Correct.
- 20 Q Now, you also had occasion to speak with Special Agent
- 21 Tambrino on August 31st of 2021, correct?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And that was at your store, at E&J Boutique, right?
- 24 A No, I spoke to him over the phone first.
- 25 Q Okay, I believe that --

- 1 A I'm not sure if that was the day I met him, it may have
- 2 been, but I spoke to him on the phone first.
- 3 Q Okay. And, again, you know it's important to be
- 4 truthful, correct?
- 5 A Of course.
- 6 Q And to give accurate information?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Okay. And Agent Tambrino asked you when this harassment
- 9 began, right?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 Q And isn't it true that you told Agent Tambrino on
- 12 August 31st of 2021, that Mr. Bantis started harassing you a
- month-and-a-half ago?
- 14 A Yes, I did.
- 15 Q Okay.
- Now, you also spoke on direct about how Mr. Bantis
- was yelling at you during this time period, correct?
- 18 A Correct.
- 19 Q And you told the jury that during this time period he was
- 20 harassing you all the time, I think were your words, or I
- 21 can't quite remember?
- 22 A I don't know if I said all the time, but he was doing it
- 23 frequently.
- 24 Q Okay. And you -- again, you spoke with Special Agent
- 25 Tambrino on August 31st of 2021, correct?

- 1 A Correct.
- 2 Q And he asked you how frequently Mr. Bantis was harassing
- 3 you, right?
- 4 A Correct.
- 5 Q And on August 31st of 2021, you told Special Agent
- 6 Tambrino that every morning Mr. Bantis -- I'm sorry, almost
- 7 | every morning Mr. Bantis would yell at you while you were
- 8 opening your store?
- 9 A That is correct. There would be some mornings he would
- 10 skip, there would go maybe three days without it.
- 11 Q Okay. Just the question was whether you told Special
- 12 Agent Tambrino --
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q -- that almost every morning he was -- he was harassing
- 15 you; okay.
- And you also testified in the Grand Jury about how
- 17 many times you had seen Mr. Bantis, correct?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And it was Ms. Oken who was asking you questions in the
- 20 Grand Jury, correct?
- 21 A Yes, she was.
- 22 Q And you testified on September 16th of 2021, correct?
- 23 A I don't remember the exact day.
- Q Okay. Sometime in September of 2021?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q And you were under oath at that time?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Okay. And were you asked this question and did you give
- 4 this answer, page 6, lines 12 through 15:
- 5 Question: And did there come to be other occasions
- 6 when you saw that person?
- 7 Answer: Yes. Six or seven other occasions I did
- 8 see that same gentleman.
- 9 Were you asked that question and did you give that
- 10 answer?
- 11 A Yes, I did.
- 12 Q Now, I want to take a minute to talk about how this
- 13 alleged harassment started in the summer of 2021.
- When this began, someone started yelling at you from
- 15 | a white Lexus SUV, correct?
- 16 A I don't know if it was a Lexus. It was a white SUV.
- 17 Q Okay. And you believed that person to be Mr. Bantis,
- 18 yes?
- 19 A Correct.
- 20 Q And this person was yelling at you from inside of a car,
- 21 correct?
- 22 A And -- and outside.
- Q Okay, but inside of a car at times; yes?
- 24 A Inside and outside, yes.
- Q Okay. So, at times inside the car, yes?

- 1 A Correct.
- 2 Q And the car was parked across the street from E&J
- 3 Boutique, right?
- 4 A On several occasions, yes.
- 5 Q Okay. And the person who was yelling at you, who you
- 6 believed to be Mr. Bantis, was in the passenger seat, right?
- 7 A Not every time.
- 8 Q Okay. So your testimony here today is that there are
- 9 times that Mr. Bantis was in the driver's seat of a white car
- 10 | yelling at you from across the street?
- 11 A Back passenger and front passenger.
- 12 Q Okay. So you're just distinguishing between the
- 13 passenger seats?
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 Q All right. But he was always in the passenger seats,
- 16 correct?
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 Q Okay. And with the way traffic flows, if the car is
- 19 parked across the street from E&J Boutique, the driver's seat
- and the passenger's seat behind the driver's seat would be
- 21 closest to E&J, correct?
- 22 A That is correct.
- 23 Q Okay. And I believe you testified on direct that what
- 24 was being yelled out of the car was: The Harrisons are rats?
- 25 A Correct.

- 1 Q Now, Ms. Harrison, you testified extensively about
- 2 Mr. Bantis calling you a rat, correct?
- 3 A That is correct.
- 4 O And that E&J are rats?
- 5 A And that my brother was a rat.
- 6 Q And that E&J are rats?
- 7 A And that E&J was rats.
- 8 Q And the term rat is a word that you, yourself, use,
- 9 correct?
- 10 A No, I do not.
- 11 Q All right. On September 9th of 2021, you called 9-1-1
- 12 correct?
- 13 A Correct.
- 14 Q And members of the NYPD arrived, right?
- 15 A Correct.
- 16 Q And you spoke to them?
- 17 A Yes, I did.
- 18 Q And there was a time when you were speaking to members of
- 19 the NYPD when you were on the Jimmy's 3 Sons side of the
- 20 street, correct?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And you were talking to them about what happened on
- 23 September 9th of 2021?
- 24 A Correct.
- 25 Q And at the time that you were talking to the police, you

MS. SHERMAN: Thank you.

Sidebar 249 1 (The following sidebar took place outside the 2 hearing of the jury.) 3 MS. SHERMAN: Judge, the witness just said she 4 doesn't remember. There is body cam footage in which she 5 refers to someone as a little rat. 6 The Government has indicated they want us to play 7 anything outside the presence of the jury, so that's what I'm 8 asking to do at this time to refresh recollection. 9 THE COURT: Is to play what? 10 MS. SHERMAN: The body cam footage where she calls 11 someone a little rat where she just said she didn't remember. 12 So, I'm trying to follow the Court's instruction. 13 THE COURT: No, the Court's instruction was to use a 14 transcript. 15 MS. SHERMAN: Okay. I have our transcript that I 16 can show you. THE COURT: Yes, you can show her your transcript, 17 18 absolutely. Just mark it. 19 MS. McGRATH: Your Honor, to be clear, we've never 20 seen this transcript and we dispute that. 21 THE COURT: Do you need a recess to take a look at 22 it? 23 MS. McGRATH: We don't need a recess, but we have 24 also listened to the body cam extensively and we would dispute 25 that that's what was said during the body cam footage.

```
J. HARRISON - CROSS - MS. SHERMAN
                                                             251
 1
               (In open court - jury present.)
 2
               MS. SHERMAN: May I proceed?
 3
               THE COURT: You may.
 4
               Ms. Sherman, is this document going to be marked
 5
     somehow to let me know what it is?
 6
               MS. SHERMAN: I will mark it. I'm not quite sure
 7
     what number or letters we're up to, but I will mark it.
 8
               THE COURT: Just for identification.
 9
               MS. SHERMAN: Yes, I will.
10
               And just before I provide this, Judge, I just would
11
     like to publish, I understand that the witness said they
12
     didn't remember someone with red hair. I would like to
13
     publish one of the Government's exhibits.
14
               THE COURT: It's in evidence?
15
               MS. SHERMAN: Yes.
16
               Can we publish Government Exhibit 130, please?
               (Exhibit published.)
17
18
               THE COURT: Are you going to ask if that refreshes
19
     her recollection?
20
               MS. SHERMAN: Thank you.
21
               THE COURT: Ms. Sherman, are you going to ask the
22
     question --
23
               MS. SHERMAN: It just came up, Judge, sorry. The
24
     photo, itself, just came up.
25
     BY MS. SHERMAN:
```

```
J. HARRISON - CROSS - MS. SHERMAN
                                                             252
 1
          Looking at Government Exhibit 130, which is the
     Q.
 2
     photograph that you took of Chris Bantis --
 3
               MS. McGRATH: Your Honor, apologies. I believe this
 4
     is being projected to the Grand Jury [sic].
 5
               We understand this is being used to refresh the
 6
     witness' recollection and, importantly, should only be shown
 7
     to the witness.
               MS. SHERMAN: It's in evidence.
 8
               THE COURT: Ms. Sherman represents it's in evidence
 9
10
     in this case.
11
               MS. McGRATH: It is, Your Honor, but we understand
12
     it's for the purpose of refreshing.
13
               THE COURT: If it's in evidence, she may use it.
14
               MS. SHERMAN: Yes.
15
     BY MS. SHERMAN:
16
        Ms. Harrison, looking at this picture, this is the
17
     picture that you took of Chris Bantis on September 9th of
18
     2021, correct?
19
          Correct.
20
          Okay. And there is a woman who is going into the Jimmy's
21
     3 Sons with red hair, correct?
22
          Brown hair, I think, but yeah, correct, I see it.
23
         Okay, thank you.
24
               MS. SHERMAN: Now, I am going to show -- I am going
```

to bring up what is going to be marked as Defense EE for

```
Case 1:21-cr-00483-ENV Document 123 Filed 12/02/22 Page 164 of 252 PageID #: 1426
                     J. HARRISON - CROSS - MS. SHERMAN
                                                                253
  1
      identification only.
  2
                 (Pause.)
  3
                 MS. SHERMAN: May I approach the witness, Your
  4
      Honor?
  5
                 THE COURT: You may.
  6
                 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
                 MS. SHERMAN: And don't read that out loud to the
  7
      jury, Ms. Harrison, just let me know when you --
  8
  9
                 THE WITNESS: I understand.
 10
      BY MS. SHERMAN:
 11
           Does that refresh your recollection as to whether you
 12
      referred to a woman on 9/9 as a little rat?
 13
      Α
           Honestly, no.
 14
           Okay.
 15
                 Now, Ms. Harrison, you testified that from
      approximately May of 2021 through September 9th of 2021,
 16
 17
      Mr. Bantis was repeatedly yelling at you from inside a car and
 18
      outside of a car, correct?
 19
           Yes, he did.
 20
           And referring to your brother as a rat; yes?
 21
      Α
           Correct.
 22
           And threatening to kill you?
 23
      Α
           Correct.
 24
           And you testified that you were worried you were going to
 25
      be -- you were going to die, correct?
```

```
J. HARRISON - CROSS - MS. SHERMAN
                                                              254
 1
          That is correct .
     Α
 2
          The first time you called 9-1-1 in relation to your
 3
     claims that Mr. Bantis was threatening you was in
     two-thousand -- was on August 31st of 2021, correct?
 5
     Α
          That is correct.
 6
          And the first time you spoke to Special Agent Tambrino --
 7
               THE COURT: Ms. Sherman --
 8
          -- about Mr. Bantis allegedly --
 9
               MS. SHERMAN: Oh, I'm sorry.
10
               THE COURT: -- I just want to alert you we will be
11
     taking a break. You decide when there is a convenient landing
12
     point.
13
               MS. SHERMAN: Well, I see that the jurors are ready
14
     to take a break, so we can do it now.
15
               THE COURT: Okay, that may very well be. All right,
16
     thank you, Ms. Sherman.
17
               Ladies and gentlemen, we will be taking, as
18
     promised, our mid-afternoon break. It will give you a chance
19
     to relax and maybe use some other rooms.
20
               Again, remember the instructions, do not discuss the
21
     case amongst yourselves or with anyone else you may run into
22
     in the hall. Continue to keep an open mind.
23
               We'll be back in about ten or fifteen minutes.
24
               (Jury exits.)
25
               THE COURT: Okay, we will back in about ten or
```

Case 1:	21-cr-00483-ENV Document 123 Filed 12/02/22 Page 167 of 252 PageID #: 1429
	J. HARRISON - CROSS - MS. SHERMAN 256
1	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All Rise. Counsel for both
2	sides are present including the defendant.
3	THE COURT: Are we ready, Ms. Sherman?
4	MS. SHERMAN: Yes, Judge. Should I take my position
5	at the podium?
6	THE COURT: Yes.
7	(Whereupon, the witness resumes the stand.)
8	(Jury enters the courtroom.)
9	THE COURT: Be seated, please. Counsel will
10	stipulate the jury is present and properly seated.
11	MS. OKEN: Yes, your Honor.
12	MS. HIROZAWA: So stipulated.
13	THE COURT: Thank you, counsel.
14	Ladies and gentlemen, welcome back. We're ready to
15	resume. You'll recall that Ms. Harrison was on the witness
16	stand, Ms. Sherman was conducting her cross-examination. We
17	pick up there.
18	Ms. Sherman.
19	MS. SHERMAN: Thank you, Judge. With permission, if
20	I can start a few questions back?
21	THE COURT: Yes.
22	MS. SHERMAN: Thank you.
23	CROSS-EXAMINATION
24	BY MS. SHERMAN:
25	Q Ms. Harrison, you testified that from approximately May

- J. HARRISON CROSS MS. SHERMAN 257
- of 2021 through September 9, 2021 that Mr. Bantis was
- 2 | repeatedly yelling at you from inside of a car and outside of
- 3 | a car, correct?
- 4 A Correct.
- 5 Q That he was threatening to kill you, correct?
- 6 A Correct.
- 7 Q And referring to your store as a rat?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q And your brother as a rat, correct?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 Q You testified that you were terrified?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q But the first time you called 911 in relation to your
- 14 claims that Mr. Bantis was allegedly threatening you in 2021,
- was on August 31 of 2021, correct?
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 Q Ms. Harrison, you knew before May of 2021 that your
- 18 brother George Harrison had relocated, correct?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q You knew he had changed his name, correct?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And you knew that he had cooperated with law enforcement,
- 23 | correct?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q You have someone screaming at you from a car that your

22

23

24

25

the subject matter.

BY MS. SHERMAN:

Ms. Harrison, you in fact contacted the police in the

she called, has called the police on those occasions but not

- J. HARRISON CROSS MS. SHERMAN 259
- 1 | summer of 2021 because someone left a bad review for your
- 2 store on Yelp, correct?
- THE COURT: Sustained.
- 4 A No.
- 5 THE COURT: Sustained. We're not going into the
- 6 subject matter of calls.
- 7 Q Now Ms. Harrison, you had a cellphone in August and
- 8 September of 2021, correct?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 Q And it has capability to take photographs, right?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q In fact, we've seen photographs of you took of Mr. Bantis
- on September 9, 2021, correct?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q It had the capability to record video, correct?
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 Q And the capability to record audio, correct?
- 18 A Correct.
- 19 Q The photo that you took of Mr. Bantis on September 9,
- 20 2021, is the only photo you took of Mr. Bantis, correct?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q You never took a photograph of Mr. Bantis sitting outside
- 23 your store, correct?
- 24 A No, I did not.
- 25 Q You never took a photograph of Mr. Bantis looking in your

- J. HARRISON CROSS MS. SHERMAN 260
- 1 | windows, correct?
- 2 A Correct.
- 3 Q You never recorded any audio of Mr. Bantis yelling at
- 4 you, correct?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q You never took any video of Mr. Bantis yelling at you,
- 7 correct?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q Now in August of 2021, you and your husband -- you and
- 10 your fiancée, owned E&J Boutique, correct?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Your nephew Andrew Harrison was working there, correct?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q And I believe you testified you had other employees,
- 15 | correct?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q A Cliff, I believe?
- 18 A Correct.
- 19 | Q And someone named Jonathan Rubinov?
- 20 A Correct.
- 21 Q And you testified that in August of 2021 at times you had
- 22 | Anthony Harrison, your other nephew, working there, correct?
- 23 A For a week, and Blake also.
- 24 Q And Blake, okay. When this alleged harassment started
- with Mr. Bantis in 2021, you told your nephew Anthony Harrison

- 1 about it, correct?
- 2 A The harassment started before 2021 of September.
- 3 Q I didn't say September. I'm sorry, May of 2021?
- 4 A Okay, May 2021. I told him in September.
- 5 Q Okay. So you told him in September 2021?
- 6 A Correct.
- 7 Q And you told your nephew Anthony Harrison to look out for
- 8 Mr. Bantis, correct?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And to let you know if he saw him, correct?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 MS. SHERMAN: Judge, I apologize, back on the
- 13 question that was objected to about the calling 911, I'm just
- 14 | not sure that the witness actually answered the question, the
- one that was overruled, if I could just reask that one
- 16 question?
- 17 THE COURT: You may.
- MS. SHERMAN: Thank you.
- 19 BY MS. SHERMAN:
- 20 Q Between April 2021 and September 7 of 2021 you called the
- 21 police seven times completely unrelated to Mr. Bantis?
- 22 | A I don't recall I did; but if it says I did, then I did.
- Q Okay. Ms. Harrison, we discussed how you spoke with
- 24 several different members of law enforcement during the
- 25 investigation of this case, correct?

Official Court Reporter

You continued to text Special Agent Tambrino after

24

25

Α

Yes, I did.

24

25

0

Yes.

The backyard I do, yes.

- 1 Q You had the camera that was on the inside of the door but
- 2 facing on to the street, correct?
- 3 A There is no camera on the door.
- 4 Q Okay. You had a camera on the window facing on to the
- 5 street?
- 6 A I do not.
- 7 Q Okay. So in the summer of 2021, you did not have any
- 8 camera that was facing out of the store.
- 9 A I had a camera inside, halfway inside, the store facing
- 10 the direction of the front window.
- 11 Q Okay.
- 12 A But it didn't reach outside.
- 13 Q Okay.
- 14 A It faces the front door so you can see the windows but
- 15 you can't see the actual ground outside.
- 16 Q So facing out the front windows?
- 17 A Halfway inside the store, looking at the front of the
- 18 store. The front of the store has the glass windows, that's
- 19 | what you're seeing, the glass windows.
- 20 Q Right. And you did not provide any footage to law
- 21 enforcement in connection with this case, correct?
- 22 A Correct.
- 23 Q We talked about the other businesses on the street of on
- your block of Fort Hamilton Parkway, correct?
- 25 A Yes.

25

That is correct.

- 1 Q I want to switch gears for a bit and talk about a few
- 2 different specific dates in August and September 2021 that we
- 3 heard about on direct, okay?
- 4 A Okay.
- 5 Q I want to start with August 31 of 2021. You describe
- 6 this on direct as a fight between your brother James Harrison
- 7 and Mr. Bantis, correct?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q I believe on direct you testified that they were
- 10 screaming back and forth at each other, right?
- 11 A Correct.
- 12 Q And then that they chased each other down the block,
- 13 right?
- 14 A Well, Chris was standing on the opposite side of the
- 15 street as my brother was, and kind of like egging him saying,
- 16 | come on, come on. And my brother, he's a tough guy, he went
- 17 after him.
- 18 Q Okay.
- 19 A So, yes.
- 20 Q So your brother chased after Mr. Bantis?
- 21 A Yes, to chase him away.
- 22 Q Got it, okay.
- I would like to pull up what has been moved into
- 24 evidence as Government Exhibit 208 -- sorry 207. I'd like to
- 25 start at the beginning. I'd like to play and pause at one

- J. HARRISON CROSS MS. SHERMAN 267
- 1 second?
- THE COURT: Which one are you doing, Ms. Sherman?
- 3 MS. SHERMAN: Government Exhibit 207.
- 4 BY MS. SHERMAN:
- 5 Q Ms. Harrison, in the corner, the left corner of this
- 6 | screen, do you recognize who that is?
- 7 A Where?
- 8 Q The person in the black T-shirt and jean shorts?
- 9 A I only see their body, not their face.
- 10 Q That's your brother James Harrison, no?
- 11 A I don't know. I can't tell.
- 12 Q To be clear, this is this video surveillance depicting
- 13 the Jimmy's 3 Sons side of Fort Hamilton, correct?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Looking across the street at your side of the street,
- 16 correct?
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 Q And you can see the date stamp is August 31 of 2021,
- 19 | correct?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And you were present on that day, this is the day you
- 22 | were saying there was a fight between Mr. Bantis and your
- 23 brother James, correct?
- 24 A Correct.
- 25 Q If we could play it. You see the person in the black

So in this clip Mr. Bantis is on the Jimmy's 3 Sons side

I can't see, it cuts it off.

of the street, correct?

23

24

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q You and your brother James Harrison are on your side of
- 3 the street, correct?
- 4 A Correct.
- 5 Q I believe you said that your nephew Anthony Harrison was
- 6 there as well correct?
- 7 A Yes, he was.
- 8 Q From what we can see in that clip, Mr. Bantis never
- 9 crosses the street, correct?
- 10 A No, he did not.
- 11 Q You already testified that James Harrison, your brother,
- 12 chased Mr. Bantis down the block?
- 13 A Yes, he did, he chased him away.
- 14 Q Your nephew Anthony Harrison also chased him down the
- 15 block, correct?
- 16 A I don't recall. I was too nervous that day with
- 17 everything going on, I wasn't paying attention.
- 18 Q I understand that. If we can pull up for the witness
- 19 | 3500-JH-16. Can you read that to yourself, Ms. Harrison?
- 20 (Witness reviewing document.)
- Does that refresh your recollection as to whether or
- 22 | not your nephew Anthony Harrison also chased Mr. Bantis down
- 23 the street.
- 24 A Anthony was with James when they arrived, so I think they
- 25 took off together; but I don't know if he was chasing him or

- J. HARRISON CROSS MS. SHERMAN 270
- 1 not.
- 2 Q Ms. Harrison, you were interviewed by Special Agent
- 3 Tambrino --
- 4 A Correct.
- 5 0 -- correct?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And that was on August 31 of 2021, correct?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q Same day as this incident, correct?
- 10 A I don't recall the day I met with him. It might have
- 11 been the same day.
- 12 Q Okay. This incident was on August 31?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q And you met with Special Agent Tambrino?
- 15 A I don't remember if I met with him that day.
- 16 Q You spoke with him that day?
- 17 A Yes, I did.
- 18 Q Isn't it true you told Special Agent Tambrino that your
- 19 nephew, Anthony Harrison, chased Mr. Bantis down the street?
- 20 A James chased Mr. Bantis down the street.
- 21 Q That's not the question.
- Isn't it true that you told Special Agent Tambrino
- 23 that your nephew Anthony Harrison chased Mr. Bantis down the
- 24 street?
- 25 A I may have told him that.

Particularly accurate details what you perceive as a

24

25

threat, correct?

J. HARRISON - CROSS - MS. SHERMAN 275

- 1 A Correct.
- 2 Q Isn't it when you spoke to police officers, right, they
- 3 are wearing body cameras correct?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Isn't it true that you did not say anything to the police
- 6 who responded on September 9 of 2021 about Mr. Bantis putting
- 7 his two fingers to his head, correct?
- 8 A I did not.
- 9 Q It wasn't until you testified in the Grand Jury a full
- 10 | week later that you mentioned that Mr. Bantis put his two
- 11 | fingers to his head, correct?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q I'd like to pull up what is moved into evidence as
- 14 Government Exhibit 283 and pause it at the beginning.
- What we're looking at here, Ms. Harrison, is two
- different angles of Fort Hamilton on September 9 of 2021,
- 17 | correct?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q On the left side of the screen we're looking at your side
- 20 of the street, correct?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q On the right side of the screen we're looking at the
- 23 Jimmy's 3 Sons side, correct?
- 24 A Correct.
- 25 Q Can you point out for the jury where Jimmy's 3 Sons is on

Go back

If we can play it back at a slower speed, Carry.

I don't know. It went too fast.

24

- J. HARRISON CROSS MS. SHERMAN 277
- 1 to 7:20, play it at a slower speed.
- 2 (Video played)
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q That's where E&J is located, on the left side of the
- 5 screen, where you came out of?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q You were going to meet the police who had responded,
- 8 correct?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 Q You're wearing a pink shirt on that day, correct?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And I think khaki shorts?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Fair to say that E&J Boutique is just beyond that blue
- 15 awning, correct?
- 16 A Which blue awning?
- 17 Q On the left side of the screen, the blue awning towards
- 18 the back of the screen, right under the camera?
- 19 A That blue awning would probably be, I think that's the
- 20 printing place. I'm about three or four stores down.
- 21 Q Okay. I'd like to backtrack a bit. I'd like to play
- 22 Government Exhibit 283 starting at the beginning and pausing
- 23 at 15 seconds.
- You're not pictured right now in these screens,
- 25 correct?

## Case 1:21-cr-00483-ENV Document 123 Filed 12/02/22 Page 189 of 252 PageID #: 1451 J. HARRISON - CROSS - MS. SHERMAN 278 1 Α No. 2 Looking at the right side of the screen, top, middle 3 corner, a bus came into view, correct? 4 Yes. Α 5 I'd like to start again playing at 15 seconds and pausing 6 at 41 seconds. 7 (Video played) 8 The person that walked across the right of the 9 screen is Mr. Bantis, correct? 10 Can you go back on that please? 11 Sure. 12 (Video played) 13 It looks like it is, yes. 14 He walked across the street, presumably into Jimmy's 3 Sons, correct? 15 16 Α Yes. 17 He's wearing the black tank top? 18 Α Yes. 19 And the white shorts, correct? 20 Α Yes. 21 And carrying a black duffel bag, yes? 22 Some kind of bag, but yes. 23 In the interest of time, I would ask to play the rest of 24 Government Exhibit 283 but at the speed of 3.0. I'm going to 25 ask that you tell us to pause at the point that Mr. Bantis is

- 1 | yelling at you and gesturing you and threatening you.
- 2 A Okay.
- 3 (Video played)
- 4 A I don't know how I would be able to tell, you don't have
- 5 the angle.
- 6 Q Let's start the beginning at 00.
- 7 (Video played)
- 8 A There is no way to determine that from the video. I
- 9 | wouldn't be able to point out the time.
- 10 Q Okay, so you can't point out the time on this video,
- 11 | correct?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q Now you called 911 on September 9 of 2021, correct?
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 Q We listened to that on your direct testimony?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q That was at approximately 11:06 a.m.?
- 18 A Correct.
- 19 Q During that 911 call, you told the 911 operator that
- 20 Mr. Bantis was threatening to shoot you, yes?
- 21 A Correct.
- 22 Q You told the 911 operator that he has a gun, correct?
- 23 A Correct.
- 24 Q And during your 911 call on September 9, of 2021, you
- 25 told the 911 call operator that you have an Order of

J. HARRISON - CROSS - MS. SHERMAN 280

- 1 Protection against Mr. Bantis, correct?
- 2 A Correct.
- 3 Q That's not true, right?
- 4 A That is not true.
- 5 Q On September 9 of 2021, you did not have an active Order
- 6 of Protection against Mr. Bantis, correct?
- 7 A I did not.
- 8 Q During your 911 call in September 9 of 2021, you told the
- 9 | 911 operator there was a Marshal's warrant for Mr. Bantis,
- 10 correct?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q That was not true, correct?
- 13 A My brother showed me one. My brother George sent me a
- photo of a Marshal's notice and told me to say this to get
- 15 help that I needed.
- 16 Q So your brother George sent you a photograph of a
- 17 | supposed warrant, and told you to tell them, tell 911, that
- 18 | there was a warrant so they would come?
- 19 A Yes. If I was ever in trouble, tell 911 because the quy
- 20 is dangerous.
- 21 Q Got it. In fact, during your 911 call you affirmatively
- 22 | stated to the operator tell NYPD he is armed, correct?
- 23 A Correct.
- 24 Q You testified on direct already that you never saw a gun
- on Mr. Bantis, correct?

- J. HARRISON CROSS MS. SHERMAN 281
- 1 A He made a gesture of the a gun.
- 2 Q That's not my question --
- 3 A I did not see a gun.
- 4 Q Ms. Harrison, you understand that the NYPD takes the
- 5 | report of a crime very seriously, correct?
- 6 A Correct.
- 7 Q You understand that the NYPD takes the report of someone
- 8 | violating an Order of Protection very seriously, correct?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 Q And that the NYPD takes the report of a warrant out for
- 11 | someone's arrest very seriously, correct?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q Ms. Harrison, I want to briefly address September 8 of
- 14 2021. You testified on direct that you called 911 on
- 15 | September 8 of 2021, right?
- 16 A I still believe I did, yes.
- 17 Q I'm talking about September 8 now.
- 18 A I understand.
- 19 Q Again, you weren't at your store on September 8 of 2021,
- 20 correct?
- 21 A I don't remember if I was or not. What day was that?
- 22 Q This is the day that you say Mr. Bantis got into an
- 23 | altercation with your nephew?
- 24 A I was not there.
- 25 Q You were at the Aqueduct Casino with your fiancée?

- J. HARRISON CROSS MS. SHERMAN 282
- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Any information you got from that day came from your
- 3 nephews, correct?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Again, going back to your 911 call that you made on
- 6 September 9 of 2021, which is the date Mr. Bantis was
- 7 | arrested, you testified that you told the operator -- we heard
- 8 | you tell the operator that Mr. Bantis pulled a pipe out on you
- 9 yesterday, correct?
- 10 A Yes, I did.
- 11 Q Yesterday would have been September 8 of 2021 correct?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Again, you were not there at the store on September 8,
- 14 2021, correct?
- 15 A No, I was not.
- 16 Q When you spoke to the 911 operator on September 9, 2021
- 17 | you said that Mr. Bantis was armed yesterday, correct?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Again that would have been September 8, 2021, yes?
- 20 A Correct.
- 21 Q You were not there on September 8, 2021?
- 22 A I arrived later in the day.
- 23 Q You did not see Mr. Bantis on September 8, 2021, correct?
- 24 A Correct.
- MS. SHERMAN: One moment, please. No further

## Case 1:21-cr-00483-ENV Document 123 Filed 12/02/22 Page 194 of 252 PageID #: 1456 J. HARRISON - REDIRECT - MS. McGRATH 283 1 questions. 2 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Sherman. 3 Ms. McGrath, do you have any redirect? 4 MS. McGRATH: Yes, your Honor. 5 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 6 BY MS. McGRATH: 7 Ms. Harrison, you were asked some questions on cross-examination about meeting with the Government? 8 9 Α Yes. 10 We've met a few times? 11 Yes, we have. 12 I've also asked to meet with you a few times when you 13 refused, correct? 14 Correct. 15 Including last week? 16 Α Yes. 17 Including over the weekend? 18 Yes. 19 And including today before you testified, correct? 20 Yes, I did refuse. 21 You were also asked about some other 911 calls you made 22 in the summer of 2021, do you remember that? 23 Α Yes. 24 Have you been called to testify in any of those 911 25 cases?

- J. HARRISON REDIRECT MS. McGRATH 284
- 1 A No, I have not.
- 2 Q You were also asked questions about cameras that you have
- 3 at your store, E&J Boutique?
- 4 A Correct.
- 5 Q Is are you familiar with the term retention policy?
- 6 A No.
- 7 Q How long is the footage saved for the internal cameras in
- 8 your store?
- 9 A It doesn't save.
- 10 Q You were also asked questions on cross about seeing
- 11 | someone inside and outside of a white car, the defendant,
- 12 inside and outside of a white car screaming at you?
- 13 A Correct.
- 14 Q When you saw the defendant outside the white car, what,
- 15 | if anything, was he doing?
- 16 A He was actually putting a bag of laundry inside the
- 17 bag -- car, as he was screaming at me.
- 18 Q You testified that you first learned that the person
- 19 making these screams, putting a face to the name, was on
- 20 August 31, 2021; is that correct?
- 21 A That's correct.
- 22 Q How did that change the way you felt about these threats?
- 23 A So at first when it was happening I didn't know who it
- 24 was. I kind of thought it was a prank. Scared me a little
- 25 bit. I'm very well known in the area, I have family in the

- J. HARRISON REDIRECT MS. McGRATH 285
- 1 | area. I just thought somebody was playing games. After
- 2 hearing the voice screaming at my brother, and my brother
- 3 | telling me who it was, I realized, Jesus Christ, this is not
- 4 good. I didn't know his name until that point. I didn't know
- 5 that was him.
- 6 Q The first time -- so the first you learned that the
- 7 person screaming at you was Chris Bantis was August 31?
- 8 A That's correct.
- 9 Q Is that the first time you called 911?
- 10 A About it, yes.
- 11 Q You were also asked questions about whether you told
- 12 | Special Agent Tambrino that James was chasing your brother
- 13 (sic), Anthony was chasing your brother (sic). If we can pull
- 14 up for the witness only 3500-JH-17. If we can blow up the
- 15 lower portion. If you could read that to yourself then I'll
- 16 ask you about it.
- 17 (Witness reviewing document.)
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 0 We can take that down.
- Ms. Harrison, does that refresh your recollection
- 21 about what you told Special Agent Tambrino regarding who had
- 22 chased the defendant away?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Who did he tell him?
- 25 A I did say Anthony and my brother.

## Case 1:21-cr-00483-ENV Document 123 Filed 12/02/22 Page 197 of 252 PageID #: 1459 J. HARRISON - REDIRECT - MS. McGRATH You were also asked some questions about who instigated, Q who started, the dispute on August 31. Did you speak to police officers that day? I don't remember if I did or not. (Continued on next page.)

## Case 1:21-cr-00483-ENV Document 123 Filed 12/02/22 Page 201 of 252 PageID #: 1463 J. HARRISON - REDIRECT - MS. McGRATH 290 1 assumed he was a gun. 2 THE COURT: You can't tell us what Andrew assumed. 3 THE WITNESS: Andrew told me it was a gun. 4 MS. SHERMAN: Move to strike. 5 THE COURT: It's stricken. 6 You were also asked a number of questions about --7 THE COURT: Just close the loop. 8 The statement you made to 9-1-1 about the gun was 9 based on information you received from Andrew? 10 THE WITNESS: That is correct. 11 BY MS. McGRATH: 12 And did you believe that -- based on the information you 13 were told, did you believe the defendant had a gun? 14 A hundred percent, yes. 15 And you were asked a number of questions about 16 September 9th on cross-examination. 17 Do you remember those? 18 Yes. 19 And we watched some video footage? 20 Α Yes. 21 To be clear, was there any audio in that footage? 2.2 No. 23 And could the camera angle that we saw show the 24 storefront of Jimmy's? 25 No.

MS. McGRATH: And if we can pull up what's in

23

24

25

Yes, it was.

evidence as Government Exhibit 214A.

And you were asked if you provided all that information

24

25

Α

That's correct.

SIDEBAR	CONFERENCE	296
---------	------------	-----

- 1 (The following occurred at sidebar.)
- MS. SHERMAN: Judge, the witness just testified that
- 3 | she definitely told the police on August 31st that Mr. Bantis
- 4 had two fingers to his head.
- 5 THE COURT: Just now?
- MS. SHERMAN: Yes, correct.
- 7 She did not do that. And that's clear from the body
- 8 cam. I understand we're not playing the body cam for the
- 9 jury, but I would ask the government to stipulate they know
- 10 that's not on the body cam, but she did not tell that on
- 11 August 31st.
- 12 MS. McGRATH: Your Honor, apologies, I was walking
- 13 back to my table.
- The follow-up questions you asked, did they pertain
- 15 to August 31st?
- MS. SHERMAN: I'm sorry, September 9th, the wrong
- 17 date.
- MS. McGRATH: Um, I think in the body camera
- 19 footage, that does not occur.
- 20 MS. OKEN: I think -- can we take under advisement
- 21 overnight so we can look at the body cam before we make a
- 22 representation of what's in it? I just want to be certain
- 23 that we're being accurate.
- 24 THE COURT: Yes. And whether or not this gesture
- occurs at a time when the body cam film is there.

Case 1:21-cr-00483-ENV Document 123 Filed 12/02/22 Page 209 of 252 PageID #: 1471		
	J. HARRISON - RECROSS - MS. SHERMAN 298	
1	(In open court;	
2	MS. McGRATH: Your Honor, the government has no	
3	further questions of the witness.	
4	THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Harrison.	
5	Ms. Sherman, any further recross?	
6	MS. SHERMAN: Just one question.	
7	Is it okay I do it from the table, Judge.	
8	RECROSS-EXAMINATION	
9	BY MS. SHERMAN:	
10	Q On redirect, Ms. Harrison, you were asked or showed	
11	the photos you took of Mr. Bantis on September 9th of 2021,	
12	correct?	
13	A Correct.	
14	Q And just to be clear, you took those photos zoomed in,	
15	correct?	
16	A Yes.	
17	MS. SHERMAN: Okay. No further questions.	
18	THE COURT: Thank you very much.	
19	So we are a completed with this witness?	
20	MS. McGRATH: Yes, Your Honor.	
21	THE COURT: Ms. Harrison, thank you very much. We	
22	appreciate it.	
23	THE WITNESS: Can I go?	
24	THE COURT: Yes.	
25	(The witness was excused.)	

23

24

- 1 A Supervised release.
- 2 Q And, Officer Aliperti, do you work for a prosecutor's
- 3 office?
- 4 A No.
- 5 Q Who do you work for?
- 6 A I work for the United States Probation, but we're an arm
- 7 of the court.
- 8 Q And how long have you worked for United States Probation?
- 9 A Six years and two months, approximately.
- 10 Q What is your current title?
- 11 A United States Probation Officer Specialist, also referred
- 12 to as a senior United States Probation Officer.
- 13 Q And how long have you been a United States Probation
- 14 Officer Specialist?
- 15 A Approximately two years.
- 16 Q Can you tell us what some of your responsibilities are as
- 17 | a probation officer specialist?
- 18 A So we're the senior officers in the department. We have
- 19 extensive knowledge in a variety of cases; whether it be
- 20 mental health, substance abuse, sex-offense type cases. We
- 21 participate in department initiatives; whether it's specialty
- 22 | courts that are subject matter experts. In addition to we
- 23 help mentor younger officers.
- 24 Q What was your title before you were a specialist?
- 25 A United States Probation Officer.

- 1 Q And was that your title in 2017 and in 2018?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q What are some of the responsibilities of a probation
- 4 officer?
- 5 A So we assist a person under supervision with complying
- 6 | with the conditions of supervised release. In addition to, we
- 7 | help link them with various resources to make positive
- 8 productive changes in their lives and behaviors.
- 9 Q Tell us a bit about your educational background.
- 10 A So I have an undergraduate degree in psychology and
- 11 | criminal justice, and hold a master's degree in mental health
- 12 counseling.
- 13 Q What did you do before you worked for the Probation
- 14 Department?
- 15 A I worked for an agency that provided treatment services
- 16 to individuals who are under supervision.
- 17 Q And how long were you there?
- 18 A Approximately four years.
- 19 Q Now you mentioned a term earlier called "supervised
- 20 release".
- Just at a very high level, can you tell us what
- 22 "supervised release" is?
- 23 A So supervised release is a term of supervision that
- 24 during that time people are subject to various conditions that
- 25 | we help to enforce. So they're under monitoring for a

- 1 specific duration of time.
- 2 Q So is it similar to being on probation?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 THE COURT: But it is probation by the probation
- 5 officer. You mean talking about between probation and
- 6 supervised release?
- 7 MS. OKEN: Yes, Your Honor, clarifying the
- 8 similarities between the two.
- 9 Q Who imposes conditions of supervised release?
- 10 A The judge.
- 11 Q And when are those conditions typically imposed?
- 12 A At sentencing.
- Q Can you give us some examples of the types of conditions
- 14 that might be imposed on someone who's on supervised release?
- 15 A So when an individual can be subject to mandatory
- 16 | conditions, standard conditions and special or additional
- 17 | conditions of supervised release and/or probation.
- 18 Q And what happens if an individual doesn't comply with a
- 19 | condition of supervised release?
- 20 A There's a variety of responses at our disposal. Simply
- 21 from verbally reprimanding someone all the way to filing a
- formal violation of supervised release. In the middle we can
- 23 modify conditions. We can deliver written reprimands.
- 24 Q Are you familiar with the defendant Chris Bantis?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q How are you familiar with him?
- 2 A He was assigned to my caseload. Or in other words, I was
- 3 assigned as his probation officer.
- 4 Q And approximately when were you first assigned to be his
- 5 probation officer?
- 6 A Around the spring of 2017.
- 7 Q And was that around or shortly before the time that he
- 8 began his term of supervised release?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And how long were you his supervising probation officer?
- 11 A Throughout the entirety of his supervision term.
- 12 Q To your knowledge, was the defendant ever employed during
- 13 the term of supervised release?
- 14 A No.
- 15 MS. HIROZAWA: Objection. Relevance, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: I'm going to allow it, but let's move
- 17 on.
- 18 Q About how often would you interact with the defendant?
- 19 A Approximately once a month.
- 20 Q And were those monthly interactions face to face?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q In addition to those face-to-face interactions, did you
- have other interactions with the defendant?
- 24 A Yes. By phone.
- 25 Q Before you met the defendant for the first time, did you

- 1 a presentence investigation report?
- 2 A So it's a report that's written by a probation officer
- 3 | that covers the offense conduct, an individual's criminal
- 4 history, personal characteristics that are specific to them
- 5 and their life. In addition to statutory provisions and --
- for sentencing, and any aggravating or mitigating factors that
- 7 might be present.
- 8 Q And is a presentence investigation report sometimes
- 9 called a "PSR"?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And who prepares a PSR?
- 12 A A probation officer.
- 13 Q And, again, at what stage of the case is that -- is it
- 14 typically prepared?
- 15 A After a finding of guilt or a plea of guilt.
- 16 Q And is it prepared prior to sentencing or after
- 17 sentencing?
- 18 A Prior to sentencing.
- 19 Q Okay. And who is it prepared for?
- 20 A The judge.
- 21 Q Generally speaking, tell us what the purpose is of a
- 22 presentence investigation report?
- 23 A So it's to better understand personal characteristics of
- 24 | a defendant or an individual under supervision, in addition to
- 25 providing details regarding the offense and then covering any

- 1 other, you know, financial ability to pay potential
- 2 restitution or not. And to offer sentencing parameters.
- 3 O Now does a PSR ever reference a victim or victims of a
- 4 | crime?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q I'd like to -- taking a close look at what we're zoomed
- 7 in on here.
- 8 Tell us what case is this particular PSR from?
- 9 A United States of America versus Chris Bantis.
- 10 Q And can you tell us what year that case is from?
- 11 A 2014.
- 12 | Q Let's -- I'd like to zoom in a little bit further below.
- 13 And I'm going to ask you: Is the name of the defendant's
- 14 attorney listed on this document?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Okay, and what is the name of his attorney?
- 17 A Andrew S. Rendeiro.
- 18 Q I'd like to direct your attention now to page 3, which I
- 19 believe, Ms. Moosher, is the second page of the document.
- 20 Do you see the header for the offense which is just
- above paragraph 5?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And I'll ask you to please read that paragraph aloud.
- 24 A In October of 2013, John Doe began borrowing sums of
- 25 money from the defendant. The defendant informed John Doe

ALIPERTI - DIRECT - MS. OKEN 309 1 that he must pay, in quotes, five points or 5 percent 2 interest, in parentheses, every week which amounts to 3 260 percent interest per year. From that time until the 4 defendant's arrest in this case, John Doe paid the defendant 5 several hundred dollars each week at the above rate, all of 6 which constituted interest. The defendant told John Doe that 7 if John Doe failed to make timely payments, the defendant 8 would, in quotes, take a bat to John Doe's head, end quote. 9 The defendant showed John Doe a bat near the door of the 10 defendant's residence and a hammer that the defendant kept on 11 the floor of the automobile he drove. The defendant also 12 informed John Doe that he had multiple firearms. 13 I'd like to direct your attention now to the next page of 14 the document, which I believe is page 3 of the document. Do you see the heading for victim impact above 15 16 paragraph 7? 17 Yes. 18 I'll ask Ms. Moosher to please zoom in on MS. OKEN: 19 that, and I'll ask you to read it aloud.

20

21

22

23

24

25

The provisions of the mandatory Victim Restitution Act of 1996 apply to this Title 18 offense. An affidavit of loss was completed by John Doe, and submitted to the Probation Department. John Doe reports a loss due to the instant offense in the amount of \$7,800. He also included a statement related to the impact of the offense on him. The unedited

- 1 | statement is as follows: Due to payments to Chris Bantis
- 2 because of the threats of bodily harm caused me to fall behind
- 3 | in my bills and caused me to get evicted from my home.
- 4 O What is "restitution"?
- 5 A Restitution is a loss that somebody suffers. It's
- 6 ordering the repayment of that loss during the commission of a
- 7 crime.
- 8 Q Was the defendant required to pay restitution as part of
- 9 his sentence?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Now let's return to the prior page, so page 2 of the
- 12 document.
- Do you see the heading for additional relevant
- 14 | conduct above paragraph 6?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And I'll ask if you would please read those first two
- 17 | sentences aloud.
- 18 A In addition to the extortion of John Doe, the defendant
- 19 also made similar threats to a second victim. In recorded
- 20 voicemail messages, the defendant threatened to hurt his
- 21 second victim and threatened to kill his second victim. He
- 22 referenced possessing a firearm.
- 23 Q After the PSR was prepared, was defendant ultimately
- 24 sentenced?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q And what type of sentence did he receive?
- 2 A It was a term of incarceration followed by a term of
- 3 | supervised release.
- 4 Q And as you testified earlier, was he also required to pay
- 5 restitution?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Approximately when did you first meet with the defendant?
- 8 A In July of 2017.
- 9 Q At that very first meeting, had the defendant yet begun
- 10 his term of supervised release?
- 11 A Yes, he would have began it at that point.
- 12 Q Is that true of the very first time you met the
- 13 defendant?
- 14 A No, I'm sorry, I had first met the defendant in April of
- 15 2017. He had not yet begun his term of supervised release.
- 16 Q And what happened at that first meeting?
- 17 A So we briefly reviewed the conditions of supervised
- 18 release. I introduced myself to Mr. Bantis as his supervising
- 19 probation officer.
- 20 Q And did you thereafter meet with him after he began his
- 21 | term of supervised release?
- 22 A Yes, that was in July of 2017.
- 23 Q Okay. And what happened at that meeting?
- 24 A So that was the initial meeting where he was under
- 25 supervision. So he would have been processed and part of

```
ALIPERTI - DIRECT - MS. OKEN
                                                              312
 1
     processing is he takes fingerprints and photo. Then we review
 2
     the judgment and commitment order, or the J&C, as we'll refer
 3
     to it, with him in depth.
 4
               MS. OKEN:
                          I'd like to show the witness only what's
 5
     been marked as Government Exhibit 51A.
 6
               (Exhibit published to the witness.)
 7
          Do you recognize Government Exhibit 51A?
 8
     Α
          Yes.
 9
          And what is it?
10
          The judgment or the J&C.
11
          And is it a fair and accurate copy of the defendant's
12
     sentencing?
13
          Yes.
     Α
14
               MS. OKEN: Your Honor, we move to admit Government
15
     Exhibit 51A into evidence.
16
               THE COURT: Any objection?
17
               MS. HIROZAWA: Your Honor, this is currently showing
18
     one page. Just to clarify, how many pages are in the --
19
               MS. OKEN: I'm happy to flip through it for
20
     counsel's benefit.
21
               MS. HIROZAWA: Thank you, Your Honor.
22
               No objection.
23
24
               (Continued on the following page.)
25
```

```
ALIPERTI - DIRECT - MS. OKEN
                                                              313
 1
               MS. HIROZAWA: No objection, Your Honor.
 2
               THE COURT: Received in evidence without objection.
 3
               MS. OKEN: And, Your Honor, may we show it to the
 4
     jury?
 5
               THE COURT: You may.
 6
               (Government Exhibit 51-A, was received in evidence.)
 7
               (Exhibit published.)
 8
     DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)
 9
     BY MS. OKEN:
10
          I think you referred to this document as a J and C or a
11
     judgment.
12
               Tell us what is a judgment.
13
          So, it details the sentence in its entirety. What an
14
     individual was convicted of, incarceration, supervised
15
     release, any conditions that they're subject to, and then
16
     financial penalties as well.
17
          Now, directing your attention to page 1, we'll look at
18
     the top third of this page to start.
19
               Do you see where it says United States District
20
     Court, Eastern District of New York?
21
     Α
          Yes.
22
          And what court is that?
23
     Α
          This court.
24
         And is this court a state court or a federal court?
25
          A federal court.
```

- 1 Q Okay. Now, looking at the left portion, the top left
- 2 | corner, can you tell us what case this is from?
- 3 A United States of America versus Chris Bantis.
- 4 Q And does this document, again, list his attorney's name?
- 5 We'll zoom out a bit.
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And, again, can you remind us who that?
- 8 A Andrew S. Rendiero [sic] or Rendeiro.
- 9 Q Now, I'll direct your attention to the bottom of this
- 10 first page. The last two lines.
- 11 What is the date of this judgment?
- 12 A February 1st, 2016.
- 13 Q Now, let's look back at the middle of this page. Do you
- 14 | see where it says in all caps, THE DEFENDANT?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Okay. Can you please read those first two lines aloud?
- 17 A The defendant pleaded guilty to Count One of a two-count
- 18 Indictment.
- 19 Q And below those check boxes, do you see where it says:
- 20 The defendant is adjudicated guilty of these offenses?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Again, what offense is listed?
- 23 A 18 U.S.C. 892(a), extortionate extension of credit.
- 24 Q Now direct your attention to the next page of this
- document, page 2, and what's the title on this page?

Case 1:21-cr-00483-ENV Document 123 Filed 12/02/22 Page 226 of 252 PageID #: 1488 ALIPERTI - DIRECT - MS. OKEN 315 1 Α Imprisonment. 2 Okay. And, generally speaking, what type of information 3 is included on this page? 4 However many months or years an individual is sentenced 5 to imprisonment. 6 Let's move on to page 3. 7 And what is the title on this page? 8 Supervised release. 9 Can you please read the first two lines under supervised 10 release? 11 Upon release from imprisonment, the defendant shall be on 12 supervised release for a term of three years. 13 Do you see where it says "Standard Conditions of 14 Supervision"? 15 Yes. 16 What are standard conditions? 17 So, standard conditions are conditions that are adopted 18 by the Court for most every individual under supervised 19 release, and they involve or they cover how often somebody 20 reports to us, if they're working, and various other types of 21 conditions.

(Continued on the following page.)

Now, I'll direct your attention now to page 4.

25

22

23

- 1 BY MS. OKEN:
- 2 Q (Cont'g.) Then additional supervised release terms?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 O And what does that mean?
- 5 A Those are the special conditions of supervised release,
- 6 or in addition to the mandatory standard conditions,
- 7 | conditions that an individual is required to comply with.
- 8 Q And are those special conditions specific to a particular
- 9 defendant?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q I'd like to direct your attention to Number 6 on this
- 12 page.
- Can you please read that, those two lines aloud?
- 14 A Yes.
- The defendant shall not associate in person, through
- 16 mail, electronic mail or telephone with any victims of the
- offense, or family members of victims of the offense, pursuant
- 18 to a prohibition list provided by the U.S Probation
- 19 Department.
- 20 Q Now tell us what does that condition mean?
- 21 A That condition means that Mr. Bantis cannot associate at
- 22 any point or in any way with victims or any family members of
- 23 the victims in his offense.
- 24 Q If we can zoom in on that one more time.
- 25 (Continued on the following page.)

317

1 MS. OKEN: And, Ms. Moosher, if we could zoom in on

- 2 that one more time.
- 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)
- 4 BY MS. OKEN:
- 5 Q Do you see where it says "pursuant to a prohibition list
- 6 provided by the U.S. Probation Department"?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Did you provide the defendant a list?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q Why not?
- 11 A Because we had discussions with Mr. Bantis at the time
- 12 about whether or not he was aware of who he needed to stay
- 13 away from.
- In addition to, typically victim lists aren't
- 15 provided in that manner. His case was a rather small case, so
- 16 through discussions we were able to discern that he knew who
- 17 he needed to stay away from.
- 18 Q I'd like to now direct your attention to the blue text
- 19 below. And I'll ask you to please read that blue text above
- 20 the signature line.
- 21 A Sure.
- Upon finding a violation of probation or supervised
- release, I understand that the Court may; one, revoke
- supervision; two, extend the term of supervision; and/or
- 25 three, modify the conditions of supervision. These conditions

318

1 have been read to me. I fully understand the conditions and

- 2 have been provided a copy of them.
- 3 Q And do you see those two signatures below?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And whose signatures are those?
- 6 A Mr. Bantis's and then myself.
- 7 Q And what's the purpose of having Mr. Bantis sign?
- 8 A It's for him to acknowledge that we reviewed the
- 9 judgment, he understands it, and that he's aware of any
- 10 potential consequences or penalties, in essence, of violating
- 11 any of the conditions.
- 12 Q And you read a line that said, "These conditions have
- 13 been read to me."
- 14 Did you, in fact, read the conditions to the
- 15 defendant?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Okay. And it also says, I fully understand the
- 18 | conditions and have been provided a copy of them.
- Did you, in fact, provide a copy of them?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And can you just tell us the date that this document was
- 22 | signed by the defendant?
- 23 A July 28th, 2017.
- 24 Q You testified previously that the defendant was required
- 25 to pay restitution. Is that right?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Okay. And restitution, you testified, is owed to a
- 3 victim of a crime?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Okay. Now, what's your understanding of where those
- 6 payments are sent to?
- 7 A We instruct an individual under supervision to remit
- 8 payments to the Clerk's office.
- 9 Q Okay, so they're not sent to the victim directly?
- 10 A Well, no, we send them -- he sends them to the Clerk's
- office, and then they're disbursed from there.
- 12 Q Okay.
- Did any condition of the defendant's supervised
- 14 release make reference to restitution?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And what condition is that?
- 17 A A special condition.
- 18 O And what was the condition?
- 19 A That he comply with restitution.
- 20 Q Did the defendant ever express his views on making
- 21 restitution payments?
- 22 A Yes.
- MS. HIROZAWA: Objection, calls for hearsay and
- 24 irrelevant.
- 25 THE COURT: Why don't you lay the foundation.

ALIPERTI - DIRECT - MS. OKEN 320 1 During your time supervising Mr. Bantis, did he make 2 restitution payments? 3 THE WITNESS: Yes. 4 BY MS. OKEN: 5 And did the defendant ever make statements expressing --0 6 MS. HIROZAWA: Objection. 7 -- his own views --8 THE COURT: Sustained. 9 In your -- well, did the defendant ever miss restitution 10 payments? 11 Yes. 12 And did you have occasion to discuss restitution with him 13 on any of those occasions? 14 Yes. 15 Okay. And was the defendant ever reminded to pay 16 restitution? 17 Α Yes. 18 I'd like to now direct your attention to June of 2018. 19 Did there come a time when you needed to speak to 20 the defendant again about his terms of supervised release? 21 Α Yes. 22 Okay. And why did you do that? 23 So, we received information through collateral law 24 enforcement contact that there were concerns about Mr. Bantis 25 associating with family members of the victims in his offense.

ALIPERTI - DIRECT - MS. OKEN 321 1 And did you learn the identity of those family members? Q 2 Α Yes. 3 Q And what names did you learn? 4 Α The Harrison sisters. 5 And did you learn the name of their business? Q 6 Α Yes. 7 And what name did you learn? E&J Boutique. 8 Α 9 And after you learned about that conduct, what did you 10 do? 11 So, we had a discussion with Mr. Bantis in the office. 12 And was that also in June of 2018? 13 Α Yes. 14 And tell us what was the purpose of that meeting? 15 So, the purpose of the meeting was to, number one, 16 reinstruct Mr. Bantis regarding the conditions of supervised 17 release. In addition, to respond and determine, you know, 18 what was occurring and why it was occurring. 19 So, we had a discussion with him. We issued a 20 written letter of reprimand. We reinstructed him during that visit. 21 22 MS. HIROZAWA: Objection, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Overruled. And what was the defendant's initial reaction?

So, there was an initial denial of any understanding or

24

- 1 knowledge of what was alleged.
- 2 Q And did he maintain that denial?
- 3 A No.
- 4 Q And how did that response -- how did that denial shift in
- 5 any way?
- 6 A So, it shifted because he was able to identify that he
- 7 | was taking a bus stop and eating food from a restaurant that
- 8 was in the vicinity of the restaurant -- of the victim's
- 9 employment, the victim's family members' employment at the
- 10 time.
- So it went from: No, I have no idea; to, you know:
- 12 There's a bus stop there that I frequent and take the bus
- 13 from.
- 14 Q And you mentioned a restaurant in the area.
- Do you recall the name of that restaurant?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And what was it?
- 18 A George's Souvlaki.
- 19 Q Do you know whether there was, in fact, a souvlaki place
- 20 there?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And do you know whether there was, in fact, a bus stop
- 23 there?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And how do you know that?

- 1 A We went to the area to confirm that.
- 2 Q Do you know whether there were other bus stops in the
- 3 area, as well?
- 4 A In my discussion with Mr. Bantis, we identified that
- 5 there were other bus stops, yes.
- 6 Q Did the defendant say anything else during that meeting?
- 7 A He had questions about what specifically was told to us
- 8 and whether or not anyone had said he had threatened anyone.
- 9 Q Did he ask that a single time or more than once?
- 10 A More than once.
- 11 Q And at that time had you mentioned anything about what
- 12 the report had been?
- 13 A No, in terms of we did not mention anything having to do
- 14 | with threats at that time.
- 15 Q And I think you mentioned the defendant was reinstructed
- 16 on the terms of his supervised release?
- 17 A Uh-hum, yes.
- 18 Q I want to show you what's been marked as Government
- 19 Exhibit 52-A.
- 20 Do you recognize this?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q What is it?
- 23 A It's a letter that we have prepared for Mr. Bantis.
- 24 Q And is it a fair and accurate copy of a letter that you
- 25 prepared for Mr. Bantis ?

SAM OCR RMR CRR RPR

25

Α

Yes.

325

1 | Q I'll ask that you please read the first paragraph aloud

- 2 start ing there.
- 3 A This correspondence serves as an official letter.
- 4 | Special condition of supervised release. Specifically, the
- 5 defendant shall not associate in person, through mail,
- 6 electronic mail or telephone, with any victims of the offense,
- 7 or family members of victims of the offense, pursuant to a
- 8 prohibition list provided by the U.S. Probation Department.
- 9 Q And is that the same condition that we saw in the
- 10 judgment in Government Exhibit 51-A?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And at a very high level, what is the purpose of this
- 13 letter?
- 14 A The purpose of this letter is to -- to, essentially, have
- Mr. Bantis be on notice that this was an issue that arose in
- 16 his supervised release and that where we discussed it, we
- documented it, and he's not to continue doing it further.
- 18 Q Did you sign this letter?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And did the defendant sign this letter as well?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And on what date did you sign?
- 23 A June 18th, 2018.
- 24 Q And, again, what is the purpose of asking the defendant
- 25 to sign?

326

1 A It's an acknowledgement that he understands and that to

- 2 document, again, the discussion that he was part of.
- 3 Q Now, in addition to this written letter, did you verbally
- 4 review the defendant's judgment with him?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And did you verbally review, again, the conditions of his
- 7 | supervised release?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And was the defendant instructed on that specific
- 10 condition, staying away from his victims and their family?
- 11 A Yes. And we also suggested that he take a different bus
- 12 stop, given the, you know, easy availability of multiple forms
- of transportation in the city.
- 14 Q And in giving that instruction, and specifically the
- 15 instruction on this condition, did you refer to anyone
- 16 specifically by name?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Who did you refer to by name?
- 19 A The Harrison sisters.
- 20 Q And did you refer to any specific places by name?
- 21 A E&J Boutique.
- 22 Q And did the defendant confirm that he was aware of the
- 23 | conditions of his supervised release?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Did the defendant contact you at any point after that

ALIPERTI - DIRECT - MS. OKEN 327 1 meeting? 2 Yes. 3 Did he contact you once or more than once? More than once. 5 And what did he say? 6 He was -- he wanted to reassure myself that there would 7 be no further issues, that he understood what was expected, 8 and that he didn't want me to believe that there was anything 9 that had gone wrong here. 10 Did the defendant, during his term of supervised release, 11 ever express any resentment towards the victim? 12 Yes. Α 13 What did he say? 14 MS. HIROZAWA: Objection; hearsay, relevance. 15 MS. OKEN: Your Honor, this is for statements of the 16 defendant. 17 THE COURT: It would be an admission. 18 You may answer. 19 So, yes, he felt that he was here because he was informed 20 on, in essence, and that that's why this was occurring in his 21 life. 22 Approximately, when did the defendant complete his term 23 of supervised release? 24 In 2020, in the summer of 2020.

MS. OKEN:

Just one moment, Your Honor.

SAM OCR RMR CRR RPR

(In open court - jury present.)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, in consultation with counsel it appears that this would be a good place to take our break for the day. And so, we shall.

Again, we appreciate your service, your sacrifice, and we will send you home with the instructions repeated because they are important.

Remember not to discuss the case amongst yourselves or with anyone else. You are to continue to keep an open mind. We've heard a lot of testimony, including testimony about locations. Even if you live in the area that we have heard about today during the trial, please make it your business to go around it, to not go to it. Try to not pass by any of the locations we've talked about, not to do any research at all about anything involving this case, either electronically or through some old-fashioned method.

We do remain on radio silence, so that there is no communication through social media or any other means of communication about the trial, or the fact that you are a juror, the fact that you are coming to the federal court in Brooklyn, nothing about any of the personalities or issues in the case.

And to the extent, again, using that broader definition of media that goes beyond radio, TV and newspapers, but into the internet age and social media, if there is any

notice in the media about the trial or anything that touches on the trial, you are to completely disregard it.

Again, I urge you to disregard any immediate accounts about civil or criminal proceedings, for fear that you may hear or see something there that will ultimately confuse you about what your responsibilities and duties are here.

So, with all of those instructions, we are going to send you home for a pleasant evening. We are going to ask you to come back to the Central Jury Room at around the same time that we asked you for today, somewhere between 9:30 and 9:45, and we will start as close as we can to that time.

It is possible, as you saw today, that the Court and the lawyers have to address some legal issues that relate to what you are going to see, so sometimes we get a later start. It's not that we are not working on the case, it is just that we are trying to spare you as much time, give you as much free time as you can. They don't want to call you on, your services, unless we absolutely need them.

So, again, we do appreciate those services. We appreciate the sacrifice that you make. We wish you a pleasant evening. And see you back here tomorrow as we resume trial then. So, have a good night and travel safely.

(Jury exits.)

THE COURT: Okay, Officer Aliperti, you are excused

case 1:	21-cr-00483-ENV Document 123 Filed 12/02/22 Page 243 of 252 PageID #: 1505
	PROCEEDINGS 332
1	as well and we will see you tomorrow morning.
2	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
3	MS. OKEN: Your Honor, given that the witness is
4	currently testifying and the Government won't be communicating
5	with her overnight, would this be a prudent time to advise her
6	as to the time she should return tomorrow?
7	THE COURT: The same time as the jury is due.
8	THE WITNESS: 10:00?
9	THE COURT: So if you are here at 10:00, we'll be in
10	good shape.
11	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
12	MS. OKEN: Thank you, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Thank you.
14	(Witness steps down and exits the courtroom.)
15	THE COURT: So, Ms. Hirozawa, you were asking about
16	a point of clarification, I think one shortly before we broke?
17	MS. HIROZAWA: Yes, Your Honor.
18	As the Court is aware, the records that we have
19	received from Probation are significantly redacted.
20	THE COURT: Yes.
21	MS. HIROZAWA: The same is true for
22	THE COURT: I assume the exhibits are redacted as
23	well.
24	MS. OKEN: Yes, Your Honor.
25	MS. HIROZAWA: There are no records from Probation,
	1

in terms of the chronological reports, that are being entered into evidence, to our knowledge. So, there are no redactions.

The judgment, the PSR, that were admitted through
Ms. Aliperti were redacted, and we previously discussed those
redactions.

My concern, Your Honor, is that the scope of Officer Aliperti's testimony exceeded our understanding of the scope of the information that the Government intended to elicit, including information about Mr. Bantis's employment while on supervision. Which his lack of employment was due, in part, to his medical conditions, which the Government previously moved to preclude us from discussing.

Additionally, it elicited information about the lack of information provided to Mr. Bantis regarding certain incidents and that information that Officer Aliperti received regarding the alleged incident in 2018.

That information is not documented anywhere in the redacted records that we've received, nor in the redacted e-mails, e-mail communications that we received from the Government related to e-mail communications between Officer Aliperti and Officer Tambrino.

To the extent that Officer Aliperti has now testified as to the information that she received and that was conveyed to Mr. Bantis or not conveyed, we need unredacted copies of the chronological history reports and the e-mail

communications with Agent Tambrino to be able to assess that and effectively cross-examine on it.

MS. OKEN: Your Honor, I think this may also be another area where we can short circuit it.

I am not aware of any communications on that topic, certainly none that the Government is in receipt of, that we have held back.

I think, as the Court is aware, the vast, vast majority of the redactions in the chronology reports are redactions that were made by the Probation Department, itself, and that appeared in the versions that both the Government and defense counsel received.

There are -- to be candid, there are a few additional redactions that the Government made consistent with 3500 and -- and such, but the vast majority are redactions that were made by the Probation Department.

And the Government is certainly not aware of any written communications on those topics that we have that we have not shared.

MS. HIROZAWA: Your Honor, to the extent that there are any redactions or copies that Ms. Oken received that are less redacted than the copies that we have received from Probation, we would request that those be disclosed prior to cross-examination.

RMR

And additionally, we would --

1 THE COURT: Well, they may disclose them to me. It 2 doesn't mean I am going to disclose them to you. 3 MS. HIROZAWA: Well, to the extent they go towards 4 our -- the topics that were raised on direct examination, we 5 would request in camera review and subsequent disclosure to the defense. 6 7 MS. OKEN: And to be clear, I'm not aware of 8 anything that exists on those topics. The redactions we're 9 talking about are minimal and are things like names of 10 individuals with no relevance to the case. 11 MS. HIROZAWA: There also, for example, Your Honor, 12 is no information that I recall in the chronological reports 13 regarding Mr. Bantis's employment. 14 THE COURT: If you want me to strike that before the 15 jury tomorrow, I will. 16 MS. HIROZAWA: Your Honor, we are happy to address 17 it to the extent we choose to on cross-examination. 18 THE COURT: It was an extra question. I let it in 19 because it was a preliminary question. Frankly, I didn't know 20 what the answer was. The answer could have been yes, for all 21 I knew. 22 I thought it was a harmless question, but if you 23 think it's prejudicial, I will tell the jury that that answer 24 is stricken and to disregard it --25 MS. OKEN: And, Your Honor --

case 1.	21-CI-00463-ENV Document 123 Flied 12/02/22 Page 247 0/ 252 PageID #. 1509
	PROCEEDINGS 336
1	THE COURT: and there would be nothing to cross
2	about it.
3	MS. HIROZAWA: Understood, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: If you think it's that prejudicial, I
5	will have it stricken in the morning.
6	MS. HIROZAWA: We will consider it overnight, Your
7	Honor.
8	THE COURT: Well, yes, you can consider it, but that
9	is what I am going to do.
10	MS. HIROZAWA: Understood.
11	THE COURT: You have raised the issue, I am
12	addressing the issue. The answer is that that answer will be
13	stricken. The jury will be told to disregard it and there
14	will be no cross on it.
15	Is there anything else we need to
16	MS. OKEN: Nothing from the Government.
17	THE COURT: attend to?
18	Well, Ms. Hirozawa was requesting clarification, not
19	you.
20	MS. OKEN: I apologize, Your Honor.
21	MS. HIROZAWA: No, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Thank you.
23	All right, then we will see you all tomorrow.
24	Again, same starting time and, hopefully, we will be able to
25	move in without lots of housekeeping.

	PROCEEDINGS 337			
1	MS. OKEN: Thank you, Your Honor.			
2	MS. SHERMAN: Judge, this is Marissa Sherman.			
3	THE COURT: Yes.			
4	MS. SHERMAN: As a reminder, I have a sentencing in			
5	front of Judge DeArcy Hall tomorrow at 2 o'clock.			
6	THE COURT: Okay.			
7	MS. SHERMAN: And I'm not sure how long it will			
8	take, I hope no more than an hour, but I just wanted to let			
9	the make sure the Court is aware of that.			
10	THE COURT: So, you wouldn't mind if we squeeze you,			
11	right, by working late and then send you to Judge DeArcy Hall,			
12	and we will all have lunch while you're sentencing?			
13	MS. SHERMAN: I am prepared for that, Judge. I'll			
14	eat a big breakfast.			
15	THE COURT: Okay.			
16	All right, so plan on that, Ms. Oken, and your team.			
17	That we will probably just space the day out where we are			
18	taking lunch, it was I had asked William this question not			
19	realizing it could pop up what the fancy American Grill's			
20	rules are.			
21	Does anybody know when they actually stop serving			
22	lunch?			
23	MS. MACE: 3:00 p.m., Your Honor.			
24	THE COURT: Okay, so the cafeteria will be open at			
25	that point, so we are in good shape.			

SAM OCR RMR CRR RPR

Case 1:	21-cr-00483-ENV Document	123 Filed 12/02/22	Page 250 of 252 PageID #: 1512	
			339	
1	INDEX			
2	WITNESS		PAGE	
3	GEORGE HARRISON			
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION	BY MS. McGRATH	115	
5	CROSS-EXAMINATION	BY MS. SHERMAN	143	
6	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	BY MS. McGRATH	154	
7	ANDREW RENDEIRO			
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION	BA WS OKEN	158	
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION			
10	Choos Emiliation	BI MO. SHERWAN	177	
11	JESSICA HARRISON			
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION	BY MS. McGRATH	189	
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION	BY MS. SHERMAN	233	
14	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	BY MS. McGRATH	283	
15	RECROSS-EXAMINATION	BY MS. SHERMAN	298	
16	KRISTEN ALIPERTI			
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION	BY MS OKEN	300	
18		DI MO. OKLIN	300	
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

Case 1:	21-cr-00483-ENV Document 123	Filed 12/02/22	Page 251 of 252 Page 251 of 25	ageID #: 1513
				340
1	ΕΣ	КНІВІТ	S	
2	GOVERNMENT		PAGE	
3	1		117	
4	12, 13, 14, 15 and 16A		129	
5	24		136	
6	22		161	
7	26		165	
8	23 & 23A		175	
9	1016		185	
10	283		185	
11	300 through 303		187	
12	300T through 303T		188	
13	1010		188	
14	105, 106 and 107		193	
15	108 and 117		194	
16	118		195	
17	115, 119, 122 and 125		196	
18	109 to 114		198	
19	127 to 128		198	
20	301-A		220	
21	129 and 130		222	
22	50A		306	
23	51-A		313	
24	52-A		324	
25				

Case 1:	21-cr-00483-ENV	Document 123	Filed 12/02/22	Page 252 of 252 Pagell	D#: 1514
					341
1		E	X H I B I T	S	
2	DEFENSE			PAGE	
3	AA-2			236	
4	AA-3			238	
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
					I